STRATEGIC HEALTHCARE PROGRAMS

SHP FOR AGENCIES 102: Reporting and Performance Improvement



Zeb Clayton Vice President of Client Services



Technical Tips

To access the audio portion of the webinar, use your computer speakers or call the number shown in the "Audio" section of the GoToWebinar control panel

Click the red arrow on the upper left to hide the GoToWebinar control panel

Make sure the volume on your speakers or phone is turned up as high as necessary

If you call in to the webinar and experience poor audio quality, please try hanging up and calling in again

Use the "Questions" section of the GoToWebinar Control Panel to submit any questions you have during the webinar

Expand the "Handouts" section to download any relevant webinar materials

All presentations are recorded, so if you have technical problems, all is not lost!



Agenda

102: Reporting and Performance Improvement

- Reporting Basics
- SHP Dashboard
- Report Review
 - Clinical Performance
 - Home Health Compare 360°
 - Improvement Outcomes
 - Process Measures
 - Potentially Avoidable Events
 - Hospital Utilization
 - Financial Reporting
 - Scorecards
- SHP Report Review Accountabilities and Responsibilities

Reporting Basics



Reporting Basics

Standard Benchmarking Reports

- ✓ Static
- ✓ Can't be customized
- ✓ Not available on-demand
- ✓ Not real-time

SHP Benchmarking Reports

- ✓ On-demand
- ✓ Real-time
- ✓ Custom parameters
- ✓ Dynamic drill-downs







Reports Menu

Each Report is Categorized:

REPORTS

- My Recent Reports
- → Survey Administration (HHCAHPS)
- → Home Health Compare (Agencies)
- → Clinical Executive Advantage (Agencies)
- → Scores & Benchmarks (HHCAHPS)
- → HHC Enterprise Overview (Agencies)
- → Scorecard (Agencies)
- → Scorecard Overview (Agencies)
- → 60-Day Acute Care Hospitalization Trended (Agencies)
- → Survey Results Data Export (HHCAHPS)
- → Star Ratings Preview Quality of Patient Care (Agencies)

REPORTS

- My Recent Reports
- Agencies
- ➤ Clinical Performance
- → Home Health Compare
 → Home Health Compare
 Percentile Reference
- → Star Ratings Preview -Quality of Patient Care
- → Clinical Executive Advantage
- → Outcomes Trended
- → Outcomes Patient Detail
- → Process Measure Overview
- → Process Measures Patient Detail
- → Potentially Avoidable Events Overview
- → Potentially Avoidable Events Patient Detail

REPORTS

My Recent Reports

- Agencies
- Clinical Performance
- Hospital Utilization
- → Rehospitalization
- → Hospitalization and Emergent Care
- → Rehospitalization Patient Detail
- → 60-Day Hospitalization Patient Detail

REPORTS

My Recent Reports

- Agencies
 - Clinical Performance
- Hospital Utilization
- Financial/Operational
- → Financial Executive Advantage
- → Financial Patient Detail
- → SHPAlert[™] Financial Impact Overview
- → SHPAlert[™] Financial Detail
- → Visit Utilization by Diagnosis and HHRG
- → Case Mix Distribution
- → Case Weight Map
- → Direct Cost Per Visit

REPORTS

- My Recent Reports
- Agencies
- > Clinical Performance
- Hospital Utilization
- > Financial/Operational
- ✓ Scorecards
- → Scorecard Overview
- → Scorecard
- Custom



Note: Financial features such as HHRG Worksheets and financial reports require a "Financial" role on your SHP login. Contact your internal "SHP Administrator" to have that role added to your login.

6

How to Run Reports

- From the Reports menu, select the report you wish to run
- Set your parameters
- Click View on Web and the report will open in a new window
- Drill-down to patient detail or export to a file as desired
 - ▷ To drill-down, click on any number in the report that has blue text

Your Overall Star Rating	Quality Patient		☆☆	☆☆	7					
Managing Daily Activities			You		State	e (AZ)	Nat	ional	Your 9	6 Rank
DC/TRF - You/SHP: 1/15 - 12/15 CM	S: 10/14 - 9/15	Actual	CMS	Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
Improvement in Ambulation	☆☆☆☆∮ ©	81,8%	70.9%	73.4%	60.3%	67.7%	65.2%	70.2%	78.1%	82.8%
Improvement in Bed Transferring	<u>☆☆☆☆</u> √ ©	78.4%	68.8%	72.4%	57.9%	61.8%	60.8%	66.5%	81.6%	87.7%
Improvement in Bathing	****	84.2%	78.7%	79.5%	68.5%	70.6%	69.7%	73.2%	83.4%	82.0%

- ▷ To navigate back to the parent report, click the 🔹 icon in the header.
- ▷ To export to a file, click the ▲ icon, then select the appropriate format



Report User Guides

- Many reports have User
 Guides that can be found on SHP University[™].
- Download the Comprehensive Report Catalog from SHP University
 Links & Resources
 Report User Guides for a thorough review of every report

¹SHP

SHP UNIVERSITY :: Links and Resources

DASHBOARD Links & Resources APPLICATIONS SHP Documents and User Guides REPORTS Application User Guides SHP Secure Website SEND DATA Alert Manager 2.0.2 SHP User Manager ADMIN TOOLS Dashboard (beta) User Guide Hospice FAQ: Supporting the Hospice Item Set (HIS) SHP*University*™ Survey Sample Review Quick Reference Guide → Classes & Manuals Report User Guides → Winning Wednesday Webinars™ Comprehensive Report Catalog → Links & Resources Scorecard Overview and Scorecard Report Suite Real-Time HQRP Executive Advantage Home Health Compare SUPPORT Real-Time Star Rating Preview Rehospitalization Hospitalization and Emergent Care Rehospitalization Patient Detail Visit Utilization by Diagnosis and HHRG Case Mix Distribution

Patient Satisfaction Comments Review

¹SHP

Hierarchical Reporting



OASIS Accuracy

The Foundation:

- Before starting any performance improvement initiatives
 - Ensure that SHP alerts are being addressed in a timely manner
 - Ensure that OASIS assessments correctly and accurately reflect your patient's condition
- When your data is clean, then your performance improvement efforts will have the maximum effect





SHP Dashboard



What is the SHP Dashboard?

- The SHP Dashboard helps you to:
 - Monitor performance across all of your business lines
 - Be notified immediately of issues by "alert thresholds"
 - Drill-down to detail reports once a problem is identified
 - Drag-and-drop tabs and widgets, this is your dashboard
 - View your most recent data, all measures are real-time
- Access this tool by clicking the **Dashboard** section of the left-hand navigation bar:



HP 12

The Dashboard: Your Portal to SHP Reports

- From any widget, click on the data point you want to drill-down on
- The example below shows how you can click on a clinician name and drill-down directly to the Clinician Scorecard

I	Fi	nd Next	-	٢						
SHP SOC/ROC Clinician S	corecard	ł	Stand	l: 06/15-05/	16, 30/60	Day: 03/15-	02/16, HH	CAHPS: 0	3/15-02/16	
Superior Outcomes							Re	port Date:	6/24/2016	
88% Overall HHC Score	SOC/R	OC Clir	ician: Nu	urse, Na	incy <	<u> </u>				4
				,	<i>.</i>					
Caseload	SOC/ROC	Clinician	Your C Avg	Org Total	S/ROC C % of 1					
SOCs (01)	\sim	14	36.68	28,095		0.05%				
ROCs (03)	A.	1	11.49	6,575		0.02%				
Recerts (03s - Day 55-60), (04)		0	32.96	24,885		0.00%				
Fransfers (06), (07)	.ΛΛ.	2	16.33	11,962		0.02%				
Discharges (07), (08), (09)	```	11	26.60	22,570		0.05%				
Top Primary Diagnosis Categories	SOC/ROC	Olisisian	Your	SH	P Multistat	te	SH	IP Nationa	al	
Top Primary Diagnosis Categories	SUCIRUL		Org	RN	PT	Agency	RN	PT	Agency	
Aftercare	7.	21.43%	6.04%	8.64%	2.14%	7.53%	8.97%	2.98%	8.01%	
Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)	•	14.29%	7.61%	7.62%	0.95%	6.61%	7.61%	0.95%	6.72%	
Circulatory - CVD	1	14.29%	3.01%	3.33%	3.89%	3.48%	3.18%	3.88%	3.32%	
Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class	· · ·	14.29%	7.05%	9.56%	11.21%	9.75%	9.85%	14.47%	10.41%	
Circulatory - Hypertension		7.14%	8.31%	4.81%	0.55%	4.17%	5.16%	0.46%	4.54%	
SHP Alert Management High/Low Better(+/-)	SOC/ROC	Clinician	Your Org	SH	P Multistat Agency	te	SH	IP Nationa Agency	al	
Critical Alerts Closed +		81.82%	94.09%		68.74%			65.62%		
A Potential Alerts Closed +	• •••••	93.33%	91.62%		58.64%			53.57%		
Informational Alerts Closed +		100.00%	85.04%		49.49%			43.72%		





How to Learn More

View Our Recorded Training Webinar

- Log into your SHP account.
- ► Click on "SHP University" → "Winning Wednesday Webinars"
- Scroll down until you find the webinar entitled "Using the New SHP Solutions Dashboard"

DASHBOARD	·
APPLICATIONS	
REPORTS	
SEND DATA	
ADMIN TOOLS	Using the New SHP <i>Solutions</i> ™ Dashboard
SHPUniversity™	Wednesday, April 17, 2013 11:00 AM Pacific Achieve, sustain and accelerate exceptional clinical, operational, financial and patient satisfaction performance.
→ Classes & Manuals	
→ Winning Wednesday Webinars"	su5
→Links & Resources	
	Welcome to the New
SUPPORT	SHP <i>Solutions</i> ™ Dashboard!
	Hits and Hits and Hits and Hits and Hits and Hits and CFO Cace Hay CFO Cace Hay CFO CFO CACE HAY CFO CFO CACE HAY CFO CFO CACE HAY CFO CFO CACE HAY CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO
	Downloads: Handouts Dashboard User Guide Q&A

SHF

Clinical Performance



Home Health Compare & Clinical Executive Advantage

- Real-Time Star Ratings
- ALL HHC Outcomes
- ALL HHC Process Measures
- ► 30 and 60-day Hospitalizations
- ALL HHC HHCAHPS Measures

Document Map	Clinical Executive	-					Standard: 06	5/01/2015 - 05/3	1/2016, Offset: 03/	
Clinical Executive Advantage	Superior Outcomes Home Hea	lth							R	eport Date: 6/28/2
Episodes			Impro	ved			Declined		Your %	Ranking
Episodes Started	Outcome: Bed Transferring	Eligible	#	%	Risk Adjusted	Eligible	#	%	Observed	Risk Adjuste
Episodes Transferred	Distate-	-				-			71%	
Episodes Ended	Division	4,467	3,378	75.6%	64.5%	4,501	56	1.2%	/1%	53%
Episodes Completed	SHP National Database			69.6%				1.7%		
Patient Age at Admission	Superior Outcomes - Indianapolis	719	519	72.2%	62.0%	713	9	1.3%	62%	41%
Length of Stay	Division (IN)	719	519	72.2%	62.0%	713	9	1.3%	62%	41%
 Managing Daily Activities 	SHP Database (IN)			67.9%				2.1%		
Ambulation										
Bed Transferring	Superior Outcomes - Cleveland	714	<u>414</u>	58.0%	68.4%	718	<u>11</u>	1.5%	27%	72%
Bathing	Superior Outcomes - Columbus	637	<u>516</u>	81.0%	63.4%	634	<u>11</u>	1.7%	85%	48%
Managing Pain and Treating Symptoms	Superior Outcomes - Portsmouth	229	<u>183</u>	79.9%	58.8%	227	<u>3</u>	1.3%	82%	27%
	Division (OH)	1,580	1,113	70.4%	65.0%	1,579	25	1.6%	57%	56%
Preventing Harm	SHP Database (OH)			67.6%				1.8%		
HHCAHPS	Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh	983	839	85.4%	64.6%	995	5	0.5%	92%	54%
	Superior Outcomes - York	423	<u>258</u>	61.0%	63.9%	446	<u>10</u>	2.2%	33%	50%
	Division (PA)	1,406	1,097	78.0%	64.4%	1,441	15	1.0%	77%	53%
	SHP Database (PA)			70.9%				1.4%		
	Superior Outcomes - Roanoke	480	423	88.1%	67.9%	482	5	1.0%	95%	69%
	Superior Outcomes - Suffolk	282	226	80.1%	63.1%	286	2	0.7%	83%	46%
	Division (VA)	762	649	85.2%	66.1%	768	7	0.9%	92%	61%
	SHP Database (VA)			70.7%				1.5%		

	me Home Health	Compa	are						ication Date	
Superior Ou	tcomes Home Health	_						Re	eport Date: (8/28/2010
Your Overall Star Rating	g	Quality Patient	/of tCare:	☆☆	☆☆					
Managing Daily Activities			You		Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your %	% Rank
C/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CM		Actual		Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
mprovement in Ambulation	<u>***</u>	-		65.9%	64.5%	68.2%	65.2%	69.0%	70.5%	48.6%
mprovement in Bed Transferring	***			62.6%	62.4%	63.7%	60.8%	64.7%	68.9%	54.9%
mprovement in Bathing	<u>*****</u>	76.7%	77.6%	77.6%	68.7%	73.1%	69.7%	72.1%	81.2%	79.0%
lanaging Pain and Treating	Symptoms		You		Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your %	% Rank
C/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CM		Actual		Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
ain Assessment Conducted	0				98.6%	99.2%	98.9%	99.1%	99.0%	99.0%
ain Interventions		-			97.9%	98.5%	98.8%	99.0%	56.8%	70.8%
nprovement in Pain	<u>☆☆</u> ↓ @			67.3%	69.4%	70.1%	69.2%	69.9%	50.0%	43.4%
leart Failure Symp Addressed				<u> </u>	97.9%	98.1%	98.0%	98.0%	99.0%	99.0%
nprovement in Dyspnea	<u>**</u>	74.1%	66.1%	65.9%	68.1%	70.6%	68.1%	71.5%	49.2%	31.4%
reating Wounds/Preventing	g Pressure Sores		You		Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your %	6 Rank
C/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CM	S: 10/14 - 9/15	Actual	CMS	Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
nprovement in Status of Surgical Wo	ounds 💽	93.4%	95.7%	95.4%	89.9%	89.2%	89.6%	89.9%	78.5%	76.0%
res Ulc Risk Assess Conducted	0	99.9%	99.9%		98.4%	99.1%	98.7%	99.3%	71.5%	69.0%
res Ulc Prevention in POC	0	99.4%	99.4%		97.5%	97.9%	98.1%	98.2%	43.6%	47.5%
res Ulc Prevention	0	99.6%	99.6%		96.5%	97.4%	97.4%	97.8%	60.9%	69.2%
Preventing Harm			You		Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your %	6 Rank
C/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CM		Actual	CMS	Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
imely Initiation of Care	<u></u>				91.1%	93.5%	92.0%	92.3%	56.9%	49.5%
Drug Education All Meds	<u></u>		99.0%		95.2%	96.3%	95.1%	95.7%	74.5%	72.5%
mprovement in Mgmt of Oral Meds				62.9%	55.0%	59.4%	54.9%	58.7%	81.3%	76.6%
all Risk Assessment Conducted	0				99.0%	98.9%	98.8%	98.7%	21.0%	19.1%
Depression Assessment Conducted					97.5%	98.6%	97.9%	98.3%	53.3%	55.2%
Iu Vaccine Received		-			71.7%	76.0%	69.9%	73.6%	81.3%	74.2%
PV Received		<u> </u>	89.5%		75.0%	80.3%	72.0%	76.1%	86.3%	83.7%
Diabetic Foot Care & Education	6	99.4%	99.4%		94.7%	96.0%	95.7%	96.2%	69.5%	76.5%
Preventing Unplanned Hosp	ital Care		You		Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your %	% Rank
SOC - You/SHP: 7/14 - 6/15		Actual		Projected	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
CMS EC: 7/14 - 6/15 CMS Hosp: 7/1		13.2%		: In this sect	tion, lower	scores are 12.7%	better.	12.6%		
0-Day Rehospitalizations			•	44.70	10.051		10.051		10.001	44.6%
0-Day Hospitalizations	<u>☆☆☆</u>		_	14.7%	16.2%	16.6%	16.0%	15.8%	49.6%	70.8%
0-Day EC without Hospitalizations	6		9.4%		12.6%	1	12.3%	1	76.0%	
	U U		9.4%		12.0%		12.3%]	70.0%	í
IHCAHPS			You			e (IN)		ional		% Rank
ample Months - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9	/15 CMS: 10/14 - 9/15	Actu		CMS	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
are of Patients		88.6		88.0%	88.0%	89.6%	88.0%	89.1%	44.8%	42.9%
communications		87.0		88.0%	85.0%	87.0%	85.0%	86.3%	68.9%	54.5%
pecific Care Issues		87.3		85.0%	82.0%	86.0%	83.0%	85.6%	58.7%	63.1%
		83.8	\$%6	84.0%	85.0%	85.2%	84.0%	83.9%	46.5%	48.4%
% who Rated Agency 9,10 % who would Recommend		78.4		79.0%	79.0%	80.9%	79.0%	79.7%	46.8%	44.3%

16

Real-Time HHC

- Get real-time access to your HHC Star Ratings and measure scores months before they are posted
- Easily target measures for improvement using percentile rank shading
- Drill-down to patient detail from every section using the <u>blue</u> drill-down links
- Compare your agency to all 12,000+ providers in the nation

Best Practice: Focus your improvement efforts on the measures shaded dark red that are significantly below the SHP Benchmark

	me Home Health C Itcomes Home Health	Compa	re						ication Date eport Date:	
Your Overall Star Rating	g	Quality of Patient (☆☆	☆☆	,				
Managing Daily Activities			You		Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your 9	6 Rank
DC/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CM	S: 10/14 - 9/15	Actual	CMS	Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
Improvement in Ambulation	<u>☆☆☆ </u> ⊙	75.3%	68.4%	65.9%	64.5%	68.2%	65.2%	69.0%	70.5%	48.6%
Improvement in Bed Transferring		63.9%	64.0%	62.6%	62.4%	63.7%	60.8%	64.7%	68.9%	54.9%
Improvement in Bathing	<u>☆☆☆☆</u> √ ⊙	76.7%	77.6%	77.6%	68.7%	73.1%	69.7%	72.1%	81.2%	79.0%
Managing Pain and Treating	· ·		You			e (IN)		ional		6 Rank
DC/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CM		Actual	CMS	Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
Pain Assessment Conducted	8	100.0%	100.0%		98.6%	99.2%	98.9%	99.1%	99.0%	99.0%
Pain Interventions	8	99.9%	99.9%		97.9%	98.5%	98.8%	99.0%	56.8%	70.8%
Improvement in Pain	<u>☆☆</u> √ ⊙	68.9%	67.5%	67.3%	69.4%	70.1%	69.2%	69.9%	50.0%	43.4%
Heart Failure Symp Addressed	8	100.0%	100.0%		97.9%	98.1%	98.0%	98.0%	99.0%	99.0%
Improvement in Dyspnea		74.1%	66.1%	65.9%	68.1%	70.6%	68.1%	71.5%	49.2%	31.4%
Treating Wounds/Preventing	g Pressure Sores		You		Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your 9	6 Rank
DC/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CM		Actual	CMS	Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
Improvement in Status of Surgical Wo	ounds 🧿	93.4%	95.7%	95.4%	89.9%	89.2%	89.6%	89.9%	78.5%	76.0%
Pres Ulc Risk Assess Conducted	8	99.9%	99.9%		98.4%	99.1%	98.7%	99.3%	71.5%	69.0%
Pres Ulc Prevention in POC	8	99.4%	99.4%		97.5%	97.9%	98.1%	98.2%	43.6%	47.5%
Pres Ulc Prevention	60	99.6%	99.6%		96.5%	97.4%	97.4%	97.8%	60.9%	69.2%
Preventing Harm			You		Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your 9	6 Rank
DC/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CM	5. 10/14 - 9/15	Actual	CMS	Risk Adj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
Timely Initiation of Care	☆☆☆ 🚳	94.4%	94.4%		91.1%	93.5%	92.0%	92.3%	56.9%	49.5%
Drug Education All Meds	☆☆☆☆ ⑧	98.9%	99.0%		95.2%	96.3%	95.1%	95.7%	74.5%	72.5%
Improvement in Mgmt of Q al Meds	Θ	68.3%	62.5%	62.9%	55.0%	59.4%	54.9%	58.7%	81.3%	76.6%
Fall Risk Assessment Conducted	8	98.7%	98.7%		99.0%	98.9%	98.8%	98.7%	21.0%	19.1%
Depression Assessment Conducted	ß	99.3%	99.2%	1	97.5%	98.6%	97.9%	98.3%	53.3%	55.2%
Flu Vaccine Received	****	82.3%	82.5%		71.7%	76.0%	69.9%	73.6%	81.3%	74.2%
PPV Received	8	89.4%	89.5%		75.0%	80.3%	72.0%	76.1%	86.3%	83.7%
Diabetic Foot Care & Education	8	99.4%	99.4%		94.7%	96.0%	95.7%	96.2%	69.5%	76.5%
Preventing Unplanned Hosp	ital Care		You	_	Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your 9	% Rank
SOC - You/SHP: 7/14 - 6/15		Actual	CMS	Projected	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
CMS EC: 7/14 - 6/15 CMS Hosp: 7/1		10.00		In this sect	tion, lower		better.	10.000		
30-Day Rehospitalizations	O O	13.2%	0		10.001	12.7%	10.001	12.6%	40.00	44.6%
60-Day Hospitalizations	<mark>☆☆☆</mark> ⊙	14.0%	15.5%	14.7%	16.2%	16.6%	16.0%	15.8%	49.6%	70.8%
30-Day EC without Hospitalizations	0		0		10.001	1	10.001	1	70.00	1
60-Day EC without Hospitalizations	O		9.4%]	12.6%	<u> </u>	12.3%	J	76.0%	
HHCAHPS			You			e (IN)		ional		6 Rank
Sample Months - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/	/15 CMS: 10/14 - 9/15	Actua		CMS	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
Care of Patients		88.6%	-	88.0%	88.0%	89.6%	88.0%	89.1%	44.8%	42.9%
Communications		87.0%	-	88.0%	85.0%	87.0%	85.0%	86.3%	68.9%	54.5%
Specific Care Issues		87.39	-	85.0%	82.0%	86.0%	83.0%	85.6%	58.7%	63.1%
% who Rated Agency 9,10		83.89	6	84.0%	85.0%	85.2%	84.0%	83.9%	46.5%	48.4%
% who would Recommend		78.49	6	79.0%	79.0%	80.9%	79.0%	79.7%	46.8%	44.3%



Advanced Filtering

 Use the Advanced Filtering Options box at the bottom of the Home Health Compare report parameter page to filter the report by Referral Source, Primary Payer Name or Prior Inpatient Facility

Note: Ask your SHP Customer Manager if
these fields are currently provided via the
SHP interface with your EMR

stom Group. These optio vider Selection, Reportin	Its by Referral Source, Primary P ns may need to be re-enabled an ig Dates, or Payer Type 1, after in	ayer Name, Prior Inpatient Facility or d re-selected if changes are made to the itial activation.									
Enable advanced of	options										
Referral Source	Primary Payer Name	Prior Inpatient Facility Custom Group									
Hold [Ctrl] or [Shift] to see (Not Assigned) JOHN DOE GENERAL HOSPIT JANE DOE COMMUNITY HOS CARING HOSPITA UNCARING HOSP	FAL PITAL	o 100), data from multiple selected values will be groupe	d.								
CARING CLINIC DOCTOR AMAZIN DOCTOR FANTAS	G TIC	Real-Time Home Heal			TAL			Unj	oublished D Rep	ate Range oort Date: 1	
	S NURSING HOME	Your Overall Star Rating		uality of atient Care:	습습	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	t				
MEDIOCRE-WONL	AXS NURSING HOME	Managing Daily Activities DC/TRF - You/SHP: 7/14 - 6/15 CMS: 4/14 - 3/15	٨	Yor ctual CM		Si CMS	tate SHP	Nat CMS	ional SHP	Your 9 CMS	% Rank SHP
		Improvement in Ambulation	रर 🗿 ⁸	0.5% -	70.7%	-	67.7%	63.5%	67.9%	-	81.69
		Improvement in Bed Transferring インインイン Improvement in Bathing インインイン		9.3% - 9.8% -	67.7% 81.4%	-	63.1% 71.2%	58.9% 68.5%	63.5% 71.4%	-	81.69 90.79
		Managing Pain and Treating Symptoms	17 0	Yo			tate		ional	Your 9	
		DC/TRF - You/SHP: 7/14 - 6/15 CMS: 4/14 - 3/15		ctual CM		CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SH
		Pain Assessment Conducted	•	9.6% -		-	99.2%	98.8%	99.2%	-	51.6
		Pain Interventions Improvement in Pain インインイン	-	9.6% - 2.2% -		-	98.8% 68.5%	98.5% 68.0%	98.8% 69.0%	-	53.1 91.3
		Improvement in Pain かかかく		7.1% -		-	97.7%	98.0%	97.9%	-	26.9
		Improvement in Dyspnea	-	5.6% -	73.4%	-	70.1%	66.0%	70.4%	-	67.4
		Treating Wounds/Preventing Pressure S	ores	Yo		S	tate	Nat	ional	Your 9	% Ran
		DC/TRF - You/SHP: 7/14 - 6/15 CMS: 4/14 - 3/15		ctual CM		CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SH
		Improvement in Status of Surgical Wounds	•	- 0.0%	100.0%	-	89.9%	89.4%	89.6%	-	99.0
		Pres Ulc Risk Assess Conducted	•	- 0.0%		-	99.2%	98.7%	99.3%	-	99.0
		Pres Ulc Prevention in POC	•	- 0.0%		-	98.4%	97.8%	98.4%	-	99.0
		Pres UIc Prevention	9	9.0% -		-	97.3%	96.7%	97.5%	-	54.9
sr if											
er if he		Preventing Harm DC/TRF - You/SHP: 7/14 - 6/15 CMS: 4/14 - 3//		Yo		S	tate SHP		ional	Your 9	% Ranl



Real-Time Star Rating Preview

- Use this report to see which measures have the most potential for improving your publicly reported Start Ratings on HHC
- Drill-down to patient detail for Outcomes and Process Measures to research poor scores

	SHP Superior Outcomes H	iome nealun							керо	rt Date: 6/28/201
		F	Process Measures	3			Outcome	Measures		
1	Initial Decile Rating	Timely Initiation of Care	Drug Education All Meds	Flu Vaccine Received	Improvement in Ambulation	Improvement in Bed Trf	Improvement in Bathing	Improvement in Pain	Improvement in Dyspnea	60-Day Hospitalization
	High/Low Better (+/-)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
2	0.5	0.0-80.4	0.0-84.4	0.0-37.5	0.0-44.8	0.0-36.8	0.0-46.3	0.0-43.9	0.0-35.0	20.2-100.0
	1.0	80.5-86.3	84.5-90.9	37.6-50.9	44.9-52.3	36.9-45.3	46.4-55.7	44.0-54.5	35.1-48.6	18.4-20.1
	1.5	86.4-89.4	91.0-93.9	51.0-59.1	52.4-57.0	45.4-50.6	55.8-61.0	54.6-60.0	48.7-56.9	17.2-18.3
	2.0	89.5-91.6	94.0-95.7	59.2-64.9	57.1-60.5	50.7-54.7	61.1-64.7	60.1-64.1	57.0-62.4	16.3-17.1
	2.5	91.7-93.3	95.8-97.0	65.0-69.6	60.6-63.1	54.8-58.1	64.8-67.8	64.2-67.5	62.5-66.3	15.5-16.2
	3.0	93.4-94.9	97.1-97.9	69.7-73.6	63.2-65.6	58.2-61.2	67.9-70.6	67.6-70.9	66.4-69.9	14.6-15.4
	3.5	95.0-96.1	98.0-98.7	73.7-77.4	65.7-68.2	61.3-64.2	70.7-73.6	71.0-75.0	70.0-73.2	13.5-14.5
	4.0	96.2-97.3	98.8-99.3	77.5-81.5	68.3-71.5	64.3-67.8	73.7-76.8	75.1-80.0	73.3-77.0	12.2-13.4
)	4.5	97.4-98.5	99.4-99.9	81.6-86.8	71.6-76.8	67.9-73.3	76.9-82.7	80.1-87.6	77.1-82.4	10.2-12.1
1	5.0	98.6-100.0	100.0-100.0	86.9-100.0	76.9-100.0	73.4-100.0	82.8-100.0	87.7-100.0	82.5-100.0	0.0-10.1
2	Your HHA Score	94.4	98.9	82.3	65.9	62.6	77.6	67.3	65.9	14.7
3	Your Initial Decile Rating	3.0	4.0	4.5	3.5	3.5	4.5	2.5	2.5	3.0
ŧ.	Your Number of Cases (N)	998	994	598	789	787	795	679	744	480
	National (All HHA) Median	93.4	97.1	69.6	63.1	58.2	67.9	67.5	66.4	15.4
5	Your Statistical Test Probability Value (p-value)	0.114	0.000	0.000	0.055	0.006	0.000	0.471	0.391	0.337
7	Your Statistical Test Results (Is the p-value ≤ 0.050?)	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
8	Your HHA Adjusted Rating	3.0	4.0	4.5	3.0↓	3.5	4.5	2.5	2.5	3.0
)	Your	Average Adjusted Rati	na				3	.4		
)	Your Aver	rage Adjusted Rating R	ounded				3	.5		
1	Step: Convert Your Average Adjusted Ra	ting Rounded (Line 20)	to the 1.0 to 5.0 st	tar scale as show	vn below.					
1			You	ur Overall Sta	Rating (1.0 to	5.0)				
	Average Adjusted Rating Rou	inded		Overall HH	C Star Rating			% of CCNs with	Rating (04/2016)	
	4.5 and 5.0			(5.0) 🔶	* * * *		3.13%			
	4.0				***1		8.44%			
	3.5			(4.0) 🏠			16.50%			
	3.0			(3.5) 🔶			21.70%			
	2.5			(3.0)	<u></u>		21.70%			
	2.0			(2.5)	0.0		16.42%			
	1.5						9.43%			
				(2.0) 🚖						
	1.0			(1.5) 🚖	7		2.49% 0.19%			

Outcome Improvement

- Trended outcomes
- Outcomes patient detail
- Outcomes decline alerts

								_										_											
Ambulatior	ו										unct		l Ou	tcon						Hea	lth S	tatus	s Ou	tcon	nes			tiliza utco	ition
Eligible Unim	proved										ADL:	•	☆	\leftrightarrow		ADL	.8	~										utco	mes
 Positive Outcon Stabilized (Not I Stabilized (Eligities) Negative Outcon Home Health Construction Star Rating Mean 	Eligible to Improve) ble to Improve) ne ompare Measure	 E In S C 	<u>ct Report Mode:</u> ligible Unimproved mproved itabilized veclined III Patients			Length of Service		Grooming	Dressing Upper	Dressing Lower	C I Ollet Transierring	Toilet Hygiene	B	Ambu	Eating	Light Meal Prep	Management of Oral Meds Phone Use		Pain Int	Speech/Language	Status of Surgical Wounds	Urinary Incontinence	Bowel Incontinence	Š	Cognitive Function	Benavior Problem Frequency Anxiety Level	EC without Hospitalization	with	Acute Care Hospitalization
Patient	S/ROC Clinic	an 🗧	S/ROC Case Mgr	\$	DC \$	¢	¢	\$	\$		¢ \$		¢	\$		¢ :		\$	\$		\$			\$		\$ \$			\$
EMO Alford, Leslie EMO00000198X)	DEMO Clinician 5		DEMO Case Manage	r 5	05/15/12	8							0	0		•	C										•		-
MO Alford, Leslie EMODDDD198X)	DEMO Clinician 5		DEMO Case Manage	r 5	08/15/12	8				-	•		O	O		•	0			-		-			•		-		-
MO Alford, Leslie EMO00000198X)	DEMO Clinician 5		DEMO Case Manage	r 5	11/15/12	8					•		Ω	Ω	•	•	C			-							-		4
MO Alford, Leslie EMODDDD198X)	DEMO Clinician 5		DEMO Case Manage	r 5	02/15/13	8					•		O.	0		•	0			-		-			-		-		-
MO Allison, Basia EMO00000070X)	DEMO Clinician 7		DEMO Case Manage	r 7	05/01/12	28					^			Ω				0	O	•							-		-
MO Allison, Basia EMO00000070X)	DEMO Clinician 7		DEMO Case Manage	r 7	08/01/12	29					•			0				0	O	-							-		-
MO Allison, Basia MO00000070X)	DEMO Clinician 7		DEMO Case Manage	r 7	11/01/12	29					•			Q				O	O	•							-		-
MO Allison, Basia MO00000070X)	DEMO Clinician 7		DEMO Case Manage	r 7	02/01/13	29					•			0				0	0	-							-		-
MO Austin, Abel EMO00000169X)	DEMO Clinician 2		DEMO Case Manage	12	05/11/12	25		O	O	0	0.0	0	O	O	0	0.0	2 ()			•		C)	-	-	-			-
MO Austin, Abel MO00000169X)	DEMO Clinician 2		DEMO Case Manage	12	08/11/12	26		O	O	0	0 0	0	O	O	0	0 0	20			-		C)	-	-	-			-
MO Austin, Abel MO00000169X)	DEMO Clinician 2		DEMO Case Manage	r 2	11/11/12	26		O	O	0	0.0	0	O	O	0	0.0	20			•		C)	-	•	-			-
MO Austin, Abel MO00000169X)	DEMO Clinician 2		DEMO Case Manage	12	02/11/13	26		O	O	0	0 0	0	O	O	0	0 0	2 ()			-		C)	-	-	-			-
MO Beach, Wing MO00000363X)	DEMO Clinician 4		DEMO Case Manage	r 4	05/25/12	28					•			O				0											-
MO Beach, Wing MO00000363X)	DEMO Clinician 4		DEMO Case Manage	r 4	08/25/12	29				-	•			O				0											-
MO Beach, Wing MO00000363X)	DEMO Clinician 4		DEMO Case Manage	r 4	11/25/12	29					•			O				0											-
MO Beach, Wing MO00000363X)	DEMO Clinician 4		DEMO Case Manage	r 4	02/25/13	29					•			O				0											-
MO Becker, Brynn EMO00000312X)	DEMO CLINICIAN	1	DEMO Case Manage	r 1	07/28/12	8					0		O	0					C)			C)						4
MO Becker, Brynn EMO00000312X)	DEMO CLINICIAN	1	DEMO Case Manage	- 4	10/28/12	8					0		0	15					0			C							



Improvement in Bed Transferring

Date	Events	Total Patients	You Observed	You RAO	SHP State (PA)	SHP National
May 2016	50	67	74.63%	72.32%	74.02%	73.45%
12 Months	634	951	66.67%	64.36%	70.92%	69.61%



Improvement in Pain Interfering with Activity



Trended Outcomes

Example of negative trend

Date

January 2011

12 Months

- Look at the overall risk-adjusted trends compared to the benchmarks
- Click on any month in the chart to drill-down to the patient detail





Trended Outcomes

- Example of positive trend
 - Month to month averages are constantly improving
 - Current months are well above the benchmarks



Outcomes Patient Detail

- Select an outcome to analyze by clicking on the outcome name
- Choose a "Report Mode" (example: eligible unimproved as shown below)
- Sort by any column
- Drill-down to Episode Einstein by clicking on the patient name



Process Measures

- Process Measure Overview
- Process Measure Patient Detail
- Process Measure Alerts

EN H

SHP	Process Measures Overview Superior Outcomes			016 - 06/30/2 t Date: 6/28/2
Home Healt	h Compare Process Measure Summary	You	SHP National	SHP State (CA)
Timely Initia	ition Of Care	92.4%	92.3%	89.8%
Depression	Assess Conducted	58.8%	98.2%	97.6%
Fall Risk As	sess Conducted	89.7%	97.9%	97.8%
Pain Assess	s Conducted	87.2%	99.0%	98.9%
Pres Ulc Ris	sk Assess Conducted	78.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Pres Ulc Pre	evention in POC (Plan of Care)	73.3%	98.0%	98.2%
Diabetic Fo	ot Care & Education	80.9%	95.7%	95.7%
Heart Failur	e Symp Addressed	90.5%	98.1%	98.1%
Pain Interve	entions	92.3%	98.8%	99.1%
Drug Educa	tion All Meds	81.6%	94.8%	95.1%
Flu Vaccine	Received - Current Season	89.9%	75.2%	75.1%
PPV Receiv	ed - Ever	83.7%	76.2%	77.8%
Pres Ulc Pre	evention	84.1%	97.5%	98.2%



Process Measures Overview

- Focus on the HHC Process Measures first
- Green or red shading indicates that a measure is better or worse than the SHP national benchmark
- Click on <u>any</u> measure to interactively drilldown to the Process Measures Patient Detail Report
- The detail report will be automatically filtered to show just the patients that had a negative result for that measure

Home Health Compare Process Measure Summary	You	SHP National	SHP State (CA)
Timely Initiation Of Care	74.1%	92.2%	89.1%
Depression Assess Conducted	99.4%	98.5%	98.3%
Fall Risk Assess Conducted	99.4%	99.3%	99.0%
Pain Assess Conducted	98.8%	99.2%	99.2%
Pres Ulc Risk Assess Conducted	99.4%	99.3%	99.0%
Pres Ulc Prevention in POC (Plan of Care)	100.0%	98.7%	99.0%
Diabetic Foot Care & Education	98.3%	96.7%	96.3%
Heart Failure Symp Addressed	88.9%	97.9%	97.8%
Pain Interventions	99.3%	99.1%	99.2%
Drug Education All Meds	99.4%	96.4%	97.0%
Flu Vaccine Received - Current Season	92.4%	78.7%	79.0%
PPV Received - Ever	90.5%	77.9%	79.5%
Pres UIc Prevention	100.0%	98.0%	98.4%



Process Measures Patient Detail

- Analyze a process measure by clicking on the process measure name
- Sort by any column (Example: Sort by clinician as shown below)
- Drill-down to Episode Einstein by clicking on the patient name
- Drill-down to the process measure details by clicking on the A rows





Need an Enterprise Solution?

Run the Clinical Executive Advantage in order to easily compare locations within your organization to each other for every publicly reported HHC measure

Document Map	Clinical Executive A	-					Standard: 0	6/01/2015 - 05/	/31/2016, Offset: 03/	
 Clinical Executive Advantage 	Superior Outcomes Home Heal	h							Re	eport Date: 6
Episodes	Outron Bad Transferring		Impr	oved			Declined		Your %	Ranking
Episodes Started Episodes Transferred	Outcome: Bed Transferring	Eligible	#	%	Risk Adjusted	Eligible	#	%	Observed	Risk Adj
Episodes Ended	Division	4,467	3,378	75.6%	64.5%	4,501	56	1.2%	71%	53%
Episodes Completed	SHP National Database			69.6%				1.7%		
Patient Age at Admission	Superior Outcomes - Indianapolis	719	519	72.2%	62.0%	713	9	1.3%	62%	419
Length of Stay	Division (IN)	719	519	72.2%	62.0%	713	9	1.3%	62%	41%
 Managing Daily Activities Ambulation 	SHP Database (IN)			67.9%				2.1%		
Bed Transferring	Superior Outcomes - Cleveland	714	<u>414</u>	58.0%	68.4%	718	<u>11</u>	1.5%	27%	729
Bathing	Superior Outcomes - Columbus	637	<u>516</u>	81.0%	63.4%	634	<u>11</u>	1.7%	85%	489
Managing Pain and Treating Symptoms	Superior Outcomes - Portsmouth	229	<u>183</u>	79.9%	58.8%	227	<u>3</u>	1.3%	82%	279
Treating Wounds/Preventing Pressure S	Division (OH)	1,580	1,113	70.4%	65.0%	1,579	25	1.6%	57%	56%
Preventing Harm	SHP Database (OH)			67.6%				1.8%		
Preventing Unplanned Hospital Care	Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh	983	<u>839</u>	85.4%	64.6%	995	5	0.5%	92%	549
HHCAHPS	Superior Outcomes - York	423	<u>258</u>	61.0%	63.9%	446	<u>10</u>	2.2%	33%	50%
	Division (PA)	1,406	1,097	78.0%	64.4%	1,441	15	1.0%	77%	53%
	SHP Database (PA)			70.9%				1.4%		
	Superior Outcomes - Roanoke	480	<u>423</u>	88.1%	67.9%	482	5	1.0%	95%	69%
	Superior Outcomes - Suffolk	282	226	80.1%	63.1%	286	2	0.7%	83%	469
	Division (VA)	762	649	85.2%	66.1%	768	7	0.9%	92%	61%
	SHP Database (VA)			70.7%				1.5%		

 Drill-down to outcomes, process measures, hospitalizations, and HHCAHPS patient detail

27

Potentially Avoidable Events (PAEs)

- ► PAE Overview
- PAE Patient Detail
- ► PAE Trends

D۱	verview for Reporting Period 6/1/2015 - 5	/31/2016			0%	2
ſ	Emergent Care for:	Cases	Count	Inciden	ce	
	Injury Caused by Fall or Accident at Home	6,053	96	You SHP Nat States	1.59% 1.66% 1.49%	
	Wound Infections, Deteriorating Wound Status	6,053	48	You SHP Nat States		
	Improper Medication Administration, Side Effects	6,053	13	You SHP Nat States	0.21% 0.22% 0.21%	
	Hypo/Hyperglycemia	6,053	21	You SHP Nat States	0.35% 0.36% 0.39%	
	Development of Urinary Tract Infection	3,959	38	You SHP Nat States	0.96% 1.09% 1.06%	
	Increase in Number of Pressure Ulcers	4,570	28	You SHP Nat States	0.61% 0.44% 0.40%	
ĺ	Substantial Decline in:	Cases	Count	Inciden	ce	
•	Three or More Activities of Daily Living	4,379	10	You SHP Nat States		I
	Management of Oral Medications	241	0	You SHP Nat States	0.34%	
[Discharge to Community:	Cases	Count	Inciden		
	Needing Wound Care or Medication Assistance	4,558	1	You SHP Nat States		
	Needing Toileting Assistance	4,561	0	You SHP Nat States		
	with Behavioral Problems	4,561	2	You SHP Nat States	0.04% 0.29% 0.25%	l.
2	with an Unhealed Stage II Pressure Ulcer	4,562	22	You SHP Nat States		





PAE Overview

- Use the Overview section to determine which events have higher occurrence rates than the benchmarks
- Green or red shading indicates that a measure is better or worse than the SHP National benchmark
- Click on <u>any</u> PAE to interactively drill-down to the Patient Detail report

Potentially Avoidable Events Overview 06/01/2015 - 05/31/2016 SHP Superior Outcomes Home Health Report Date: 6/28/2016 Overview for Reporting Period 6/1/2015 - 5/31/2016 Emergent Care for: Incidence Cases Count You 1.59% I Injury Caused by Fall or Accident at Home 6.053 SHP Nat 1.66% States 1 49% You 0.79% 2 Wound Infections, Deteriorating Wound Status 6 0 5 3 Nat 0.02% You 3 Improper Medication Administration, Side Effects 6,053 You 0 359 4 Hypo/Hyperglycemia 6.053 SHP Nat 0.36% States 0.39% You 0.96% 5 Development of Urinary Tract Infection SHP Nat 1.09% 3.959 States 1.06% You 0.61% 6 Increase in Number of Pressure Ulcers 4.570 28 SHP Nat 0.44% States 0.40% Substantial Decline in Cases Count Incidence You 0.23% 7 Three or More Activities of Daily Living SHP Nat 0.28% 4,379 States 0.22% You 0.00% 8 Management of Oral Medications SHP Nat 0.34% 241 States 0.28% Discharge to Community: Cases Count Incidence You 0.02% 9 Needing Wound Care or Medication Assistance 4.558 SHP Nat 0.05% States 0.04% You 0.00% 10 Needing Toileting Assistance 0.02% 4.561 SHP Nat States 0.02% 11 with Behavioral Problems 4.561 SHP Nat 0.29% 12 with an Unhealed Stage II Pressure Ulcer 4,562 SHP Nat 0.29% 0.31% Note: Cases = Eligible episodes (denominator), Count = Episodes in which Potentially Avoidable Event occurred (numerator), Count/Cases = Incidence. You are equal to or better than SHP National Database You are worse than SHP National Database



29

PAE Overview

- Use the Trended section of the report to determine which events are trending upward and need immediate action
- Trends should ALWAYS be downward for PAE's

BAD Trend





GOOD Trend

PAE Patient Detail

- Click on any PAE in the Overview section to view the patient details
- Click on any link in the "Assessment" column to view the alert details
- ► Click on any patient name to open up their Episode Einstein[™]

	Patient \$		d Infections, Deterioration	S/ROC Case Mgr \$	S/ROC \$	ICD \$	Event Type 🗘	Events: 7	
	Test, Patient 1 (00000	001)	Nancy, Nurse	Manager, Casey	10/02/2015	Z47.81	EC - Wound Infection/Status	11/12/2015 (07) TRF - DC	
	Test, Patient 2 (00000		Nancy, Nurse	Manager, Casey	07/08/2015	707.03	EC - Wound Infection/Status	08/31/2015 (07) TRF - DC	
	Test, Patient 3 (00000		Nancy, Nurse	Manager, Casey	09/17/2015	V54.16	EC - Wound Infection/Status	11/04/2015 (09) DC	
	Test, Patient 4 (00000	0004)	Nancy, Nurse	Manager, Casey	12/16/2014	681.10	EC - Wound Infection/Status	08/17/2015 (06) TRF - No DC	
te Einstein		005)	Nancy, Nurse	Manager, Casey	07/23/2015	V58.73	EC - Wound Infection/Status	08/12/2015 (09) DC	
de Einstein	Selected Assessment D0000	006)	Nancy, Nurse	Manager, Casey	07	Assessment:	11/12/2015 (07) Transfer		2
6: 69932012 Clair1 Clair 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10:	(03) 0C MM391 04413012 mmi 0000 0.0400001 mmi 0000 0.0400001 mmi 0000 0040 0040001 mmi 0000 0040 0040001 000000	007)	Nancy, Nurse	Manager, Casey	11	Patient:	Test, Patient 1	Clinician: Nancy, Nurse	
Early/11-12 12/251 13040	HC Outcome Summary		, ,	÷		Patient ID:	0000001	Case Mgr: Manager, Casey	
4 1 505 6 9 4 9 6 5 2,630.75						Age:	88 (7/7/1927)	Team: Blue Team	ProviderID: 999
	Particle of Automy & O Streams O Back Point Die 2017					Telehealth?	No	Physician: Dr. Capable	SHP#: 757
	New Measure Summary				0	Alert T	ype: Potentially Avoidable Ever	its 50002	🗹 🕕 💘 Unaddress
	Alter Balance O				-	Wound Infectio	ons, Deteriorating Status: Pote	entially Avoidable Event	
· ·	And Can Have O				Relevant			Current Assessment	
Accidentanta da					M2300	Emergent Care:	Since last OASIS	1 - Yes, WITHOUT hospital admission	
					M2310	Reason for Eme	ergent Care	15 - Wound infection or deterioration	
and inter a super a									
- minay -	1								

SHP

31

Hospital Utilization



Hospitalizations Three Ways

SHP offers three different ways to analyze your hospitalization rates

Hospital & Emergent Care Report: Acute Care Hospitalization rates (SOC/ROC to DC/Transfer)



Note: Includes about 99% of Medi-Medi SOC episodes

Home Health Compare Report: 60-day Hospitalization rates

Your Overall Star Rating		Patient	of Care:	**	^					
Managing Daily Activities			You		Stat	ie (IN)	Nat	ional	Your	5 Rank
DC/TRF - YOU'SHP 10/14 - 9/15 CMS	10/14 - 9/15	Actual	CMS	Risk Acj	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP
Improvement in Ambulation	222 C		68.4%	65.9%	64.5%	66.2%	65.2%	69.0%	70.5%	48.61
Improvement in Bed Transferring	**** 6	63.9%	64.0%	62.6%	62.4%	63.7%	60.8%	64.7%	68.9%	54.91
Improvement in Bathing	*****	78.7%	77.6%	77.6%	68.7%	73.1%	69.7%	72.1%	81.2%	79.05
Managing Pain and Treating	Symptoms		You		Stat	ie (IN)	Nat	ional	Your	6 Rank
DC/TRF - YourSHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CMS	10/14 - 9/15	Actual	CMS	Risk Act	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHF
Pain Assessment Conducted	G		100.0%		90.6%	99.2%	98.9%	99.1%	99.0%	99.0
Pain Interventions	G		99.9%		97.9%	98.5%	98.8%	99.0%	56.8%	70.8
Improvement in Pain	*** 0		67.5%	67.3%	69.4%	70.1%	69.2%	69.9%	50.0%	43.4
Heart Failure Symp Addressed	G	100.0%	100.0%		97.9%	98.1%	98.0%	98.0%	99.0%	99.0
Improvement in Dyspnes	1 1 C	74.1%	66.1%	65.9%	68.1%	70.6%	68.1%	71.5%	49.2%	31.4
Treating Wounds/Preventing	Pressure Sores		You		Stat	te (IN)	Nat	ional	Your	4 Rank
DC/TRF - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/15 CMS		Actual	OMS	Risk Adi	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHF
Improvement in Status of Surgical Wou	nds 🧿	93.4%	95.7%	95.4%	69.9%	89.2%	89.6%	89.9%	78.5%	76.0
Pres Ulc Risk Assess Conducted	G	99.9%	99.9%		96.4%	99.1%	98.7%	99.3%	71.5%	69.0
Pres Ulc Prevention in POC	G	59.4%	99.4%	1	97.5%	97.9%	95.1%	98.2%	43.6%	47.5
Pres Ulc Prevention	G		99.6%		96.5%	97.4%	97.4%	97.8%	60.9%	69.2
Preventing Harm			You	_	Stat	e (IN)	Nat	ional	Your	6 Rank
DC/TRF - You/SHP. 10/14 - 9/15 CMS	10/14 - 9/15	Actual	CMS	Risk Adl	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHE
Timely initiation of Care	宮宮宮 6	94.4%	94.4%		91.1%	93.5%	92.0%	92.3%	55.9%	49.5
Drug Education All Meds	****		99.0%		95.2%	96.3%	95.1%	95.7%	74.6%	72.5
Improvement in Mgmt of Oral Meds	C	60.3%	62.5%	62.9%	55.0%	\$9.4%	54.9%	50.7%	81.3%	76.6
Fall Risk Assessment Conducted	G	98.7%	98.7%		99.0%	98.9%	98.8%	98.7%	21.0%	19.1
Depression Assessment Conducted	G	99.3%	99.2%	1 1	97.5%	56.6%	97.9%	98.3%	53.3%	55.2
Flu Vaccine Received	*****	82.3%	82.5%	1 1	71.7%	76.0%	69.9%	73.6%	81.3%	74.2
PPV Received	G		89.5%	1 2	75.0%	80.3%	72.0%	78.1%	86.3%	83.7
Diabetic Foot Care & Education	G		99.4%		94.7%	96.0%	95.7%	90.2%	69.5%	76.5
Preventing Unplanned Hospi	al Care		You	-	(just	e (IN)		ional	Vours	4 Rank
SOC - YouSHP 7/14 - 6/15	and a set	Actual	CMS	Projected	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CUS	SHE
CMS EC: 7/14 - 6/15 CMS Hosp: 7/14	6/15			In this sect	tion, lower		better.		1000	
30-Day Rehospitalizations	G		0	1.1.2.1.2.2.2.2.2	10.00008	12.7%	and Sec. C	12.6%		44.6
60-Day Hospitalizations	111 C		15.5%	14.7%	16.2%	16.6%	16.0%	15.0%	49.6%	70.81
30-Day EC without Hospitalizations	G		0							
60-Day EC without Hospitalizations	G		9.4%		12.8%		12.3%		78.0%	
HHCAHPS		Sec.	You		Stat	te (IN)	Nat	ional	Your	6 Rank
Sample Months - You/SHP: 10/14 - 9/1	5 CMS: 10/14 - 9/15	Actu	al l	CMS	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHP	CMS	SHE
Care of Patients		88.64	6	88.0%	88.0%	89.6%	88.0%	89.1%	44.8%	42.9
Communications		87.04	4	88.0%	85.0%	87.0%	85.0%	88.3%	68.9%	54.5
Specific Care Issues		87.31	6	85 0%	82.0%	86.0%	83.0%	85.6%	58.7%	63.1
% who Rated Agency 9,10		83.01	6	04.0%	85.0%	65.2%	84.0%	83.9%	46.5%	48.4
% who would Recommend		75.41		79.0%	79.0%	80.9%	79.0%	79.7%	46.0%	44.35

Note: Includes about 80% of Medi-Medi SOC episodes **Rehospitalization Report:** 30-day Rehospitalization rates



Note: Includes about 35% of Medi-Medi SOC episodes

33

Rehospitalization Report

- Uses the CMS logic for 30-day Rehospitalizations currently reported on HHC
- Also includes breakouts for the hospital DX penalty groups of AMI, Heart Failure, Pneumonia, Joint Replacement and COPD
- Rehospitalizations by day-of-the-week
- Rehospitalizations within 0-7, 8-14, 15-30, 31-60, 60-90, and 91+ days
- Includes a telehealth/non-telehealth filter on the parameter page



15%

10%

5%

····· SHP State (MI)

-- SHP National

Rehospitalization Patient Detail

Drill-down to the Rehospitalization Patient Detail report from any report that includes 30-day Rehospitalization rates and view the patients behind your scores

				You	SH	P State (MI)	SHP N	lational		Your %
t Replacement (After	care DX)	Count: Cases		1 7 2.70 %	6	2.71%		2.9	97%		40%
D (Inpatient DX)		Count: Cases		6 19.35 9	6	20.03%		18.	51%		42%
Rehospitalizations uture Revenue Impac	(Includes Current To t previous table)	otal from Count Cases		9 8 .91 %	6	13.06%		11.	70%		69%
https://secure.shpdata.co	m/?reportId=303&shiz=Og1ZN44pclsR	xpBq91kOkg%3d%3d&forma			xplorer						
Id d 1 of 1 P SHP Re Sup		Find Ne:			xplorer				4/01/2015 - 0 Report Date:	03/31/201	16
Id d 1 of 1 P SHP Re Sup		Find Ne:	kt 🔍 -	٢		Inpatient/Afte AMI HF \$ \$			4/01/2015 - (Report Date: Primary	03/31/201	
Id d 1 of 1 P C SHP Re Sup Rehospitalizations within 30	I I IO0% I IO0% Inospitalization Patient Deta erior Outcomes Home Health Days, Aftercare DX Group Joint Replacer S/RCC Clinician \$/R	Find Ne:	kt 🔍 -	C TRF	6) Days ≎ ≎	AMI HF ‡ ‡		Group	4/01/2015 - (Report Date: Primary	03/31/201 6/28/201 30-Day Inelig**	



Home Health Compare (60-Day)

- Use the Home Health Compare report to view your 60-day rates as currently reported on Home Health Compare
- This report drills down to the 60-day Hospitalization Patient Detail report



36
Hospitalization and Emergent Care



hospitalization? (Mark all that apply.)	#	%	#	%	SOC/ROC	Hospitalized	SOC/ROC	Hospitalized
History of falls	401	30.1%	77	19.2%	26.3%	22.8%	32.5%	24.2%
Frailty indicators	429	32.2%	117	27.3%	31.9%	27.3%	35.7%	28.0%
Unintentional weight loss	132	9.9%	41	31.1%	7.0%	31.9%	8.6%	31.7%
Currently reports exhaustion	359	26.9%	101	28.1%	27.9%	27.0%	30.7%	27.7%
Unspecified*	0	0.0%	0	-	0.2%	43.6%	0.6%	38.5%
Multiple hospitalizations	462	34.7%	154	33.3%	34.4%	33.6%	33.8%	35.2%
Decline in mental, emotional, behavioral status	208	15.6%	56	26.9%	13.2%	26.9%	16.2%	27.9%
Currently taking 5 or more medications	1,128	84.6%	248	22.0%	90.8%	23.3%	90.2%	24.4%
Other	606	45.5%	162	26.7%	43.6%	27.4%	43.6%	28.8%
Multiple emergency dept visits	290	21.8%	103	35.5%	22.5%	34.0%	23.2%	35.3%
Difficulty complying with medical instructions	184	13.8%	47	25.5%	13.1%	27.3%	15.7%	28.7%
Other risk(s) not listed	259	19.4%	59	22.8%	20.9%	24.0%	17.7%	24.0%
Unspecified*	0	0.0%	0	-	0.1%	46.2%	0.2%	39.5%
None of the above	30	2.3%	3	10.0%	2.5%	9.1%	2.5%	9.8%

*Frailty indicators and Other risk factor breakouts not supported in OASIS-C

SHP Risk of Hospitalization Alert

	Alert	Alert
od 8 Trie		74011
eu ar IIIg	riggered	Triggered &
alized SO	OC/ROC	Hospitalized
7% 2	23.3%	35.0%
5%	6.8%	54.3%
7% 3	30.1%	39.4%
33. 53.	ospitalized S 33.7% 53.5% 37.7% 37.7%	33.7% 23.3% 53.5% 6.8%

- Trends of Acute Care Hospitalization & Emergent Care measures
- Analysis of M1033 Risk Factors
- Analysis of SHP Risk of Hospitalization alert

Positive Outcome Stabilized (Not Eligible to Improve) Stabilized (Eligible to Improve) Negative Outcome	lization)Ls	nal O	outco		IADI	.5			Healt	h Sta	atus	Outo	ome	s			zatio	
Stabilized (Not Eligible to Improve) Stabilized (Eligible to Improve)									Z	<mark>≿☆</mark>		IADL	.\$		\checkmark							_	Out	com	es
Stabilized (Not Eligible to Improve) Stabilized (Eligible to Improve)							X		2	272				122											
Home Health Compare Measure			Length of Service	Telehealth	Grooming	Dressing Lower	Bathing	Toilet Transferring	Toilet Hygiene	Ambulation Red Transferring	Eating	Light Meal Prep	Management of Oral Meds Phone Use	Dyspnea	Pain Interfering w/ Activity	Speech/Language	Urinary Tract Infection	Urinary Incontinence	Bowel Incontinence	Confusion Frequency	Anxiety Level	Behavior Problem Frequency	EC with Hospitalization	Acute Care Hospitalization	Discharge to Community
	S/ROC Case Mgr 🗘	DC \$	\$	\$:	\$	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$\$	\$	\$	\$ \$	¢	\$	\$	\$ \$; \$	\$	\$ \$	\$	¢
Emododdo (4A)	DEMO Case Manager 7		18																				-	-	•
EMODDUD230A)	DEMO Case Manager 7		20																				-	•	•
EMODUUU238A)	DEMO Case Manager 8		13 18																						
	DEMO Case Manager 7	02/20/13																						•	*
	DEMO Case Manager 4 DEMO Case Manager 8	02/20/13	2																				•	•	1

By Diagnosis

 Breakdown of Acute Care Hospitalization and Emergent Care by Primary and/or ANY diagnosis

A 2 of 3 ? Hospitalization and Superior Outcomes Home He	d Emergen	✓ t Care		FING	d Next	-	• 😨		1/2015 - 04 ort Date: 6	
All Hospitalizations by Home Hea			nosis							
	Cases	Count	You	% 42.86%	0%	15%	30%	45%	60%	75%
nfectious/Parasitic	28	12	SHP	31.66%						
Veoplasms	55	21	You SHP	38.18% 39.71%						
Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes)	4	2	You SHP	50.00% 32.20%	_			-		
Diabetes	67	22	You SHP	32.84% 30.27%						
Blood/Blood-Forming Organs	12	3	You	25.00%						
			SHP You	35.66% 37.50%						
/lental/Behavioral/Neurodev	8	3	SHP	26.36%						
Nervous System/Eyes/Ears	51	11	You SHP	21.57% 21.21%						
Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)	101	24	You	23.76%	-	-				
			SHP You	28.76% 3.33%			_			
Circulatory - Hypertension	30	1	SHP	25.26%						
Circulatory - Heart Failure	105	27	You SHP	25.71% 37.25%						
Circulatory - CVD	56	7	You SHP	12.50% 20.39%						
Respiratory (not COPD)	31	11	You	35.48% 30.46%	_					
Respiratory - COPD	70	23	You	32.86% 35.45%						
Digestive	27	5	You	18.52% 32.48%						
Genitourinary	30	8	You SHP	26.67% 30.99%						
Pregnancy/Birth/Puerperium/Perinatal	0	0	You SHP	-						
Skin/Subcutaneous (not PUs)	32	11	You SHP	34.38% 26.95%						
Skin/Subcutaneous - Pressure Ulcers	48	21	You SHP	43.75% 38.13%			-			
/lusculoskeletal/Connective Tissue	75	10	You	13.33%	-					
Congenital Anomalies	1	0	SHP You	18.41% 0.00%			_			
-			SHP	26.87% 19.15%						
Symptoms/Signs/III-Defined	47	9	SHP	20.34%						
njury/Poisoning	92	19	You SHP	20.65% 20.86%						
External Causes of Morbidity/Sup Class	0	0	You SHP	- 7.14%						
Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class	141	14	You SHP	9.93% 13.10%		<u>- 1</u>				
Aftercare	196	22	You SHP	11.22% 14.91%						
Therapy/Rehab	25	2	You SHP	8.00% 9.98%						
Jnknown	4	0	You SHP	0.00% 20.78%	_	-				
					0%	15%	30%	45%	60%	75%



By Reason

 Breakdown of Acute Care Hospitalization and Emergent Care by Reason

4 of 5 ? 4 100°	%	✓		Find	Next	₽ , -	٩		
SHP Hospitalization and Em	nerger	nt Care)					/01/2015 - 04	
Superior Outcomes Home Realth							R	eport Date: 6	5/28/2
All Hospitalizations by <u>Reason</u> (05/07	1/2015 Count		0/2016 %	5) 0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	1
Improper medication administration, side effects, toxicity, anaphylaxis	2	You SHP	0.15% 0.18%		270	470	076	076	1
Injury caused by fall	17	You SHP	1.27% 1.32%						
Respiratory infection (e.g., pneumonia, bronchitis)	9	You SHP	0.67% 1.97%	-	-				
Other respiratory problem	25	You SHP You	1.87% 2.51% 1.65%						
Heart failure (e.g., fluid overload) Cardiac dysrhythmia (irregular heartbeat)	22 6	SHP	1.83% 0.45%						
Myocardial infarction or chest pain	5	SHP You SHP	0.62%	6.1					
Other heart disease	6	You SHP	0.72% 0.45% 0.43%						
Stroke (CVA) or TIA	6	You SHP	0.45% 0.53%						
Hypo/Hyperglycemia, diabetes out of control	4	You SHP	0.30%						
GI bleeding, obstruction, constipation, impaction	12	You SHP You	0.90% 0.84% 1.42%						
Dehydration, malnutrition	19 19	SHP You	0.94% 1.42%						
Urinary tract infection	19	SHP You	1.57%						
Wound infection or deterioration	16	SHP You SHP	0.06% 1.20% 1.18%	-					
Uncontrolled pain	10	You SHP	0.75% 0.87%						
Acute mental/behavioral health problem	6	You SHP	0.45% 0.76%						
Deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolus	0	You SHP You	0.27% 6.96%						
Other than above reasons	93	SHP	7.79% 3.74%			_		-	
Reason unknown	50	SHP	2.19%	0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	1



Predictive Alerts

 Use the "Risk of Hospitalization" & "Candidate for Hospice Referral" alerts to help keep patients out of the hospital and ensure that they are being cared for in the appropriate setting

atient: DEMO Puckett, Carolyn	
Assessment: 02/25/2013 (03) ROC	8 🖹
Patient: DEMO Puckett , Carolyn	Clinician: DEMO Clinician 4
Patient ID: DEMO00000323X	Case Mgr: DEMO Case Manager 4
Age: 35 (4/13/1978)	Team: DEMO Team 2 ProviderID: 99012
Telehealth? No	Physician: LEVINE, STEVEN SHP#: 454779
Alert Type: Predictive 110054	🗹 i 🕂 Unaddressed
Patient may be a candidate for hospice referral.	
Relevant Measures	Current Assessment
M1810 Dressing Upper	3 - Totally dependent
M1820 Dressing Lower	3 - Totally dependent
M1830 Bathing	6 - Totally dependent
M1840 Toilet Transferring	4 - Totally dependent
M1850 Transferring	5 - Bedfast, unable to transfer, unable to turn or position self
	5 - Chairfast, unable to ambulate and unable to wheel self
M1860 Ambulation	5 - Chalilast, unable to ambulate and unable to wheel sen
M1860 Ambulation M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq	4 - On a daily basis
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization.	4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk () +() Unaddressed
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures	4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Image: Image: Image:
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14	4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Image: Image: Image:
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Imaddressed Current Assessment 1 - Intravenous or infusion therapy (excludes TPN) 2 - Indwelling/suprapubic catheter
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk I - Intravenous or infusion therapy (excludes TPN) 2 - Indwelling/suprapubic catheter 03
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating M1610 Urinary Incontinence	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk I - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk I - Unaddressed Current Assessment 1 - Intravenous or infusion therapy (excludes TPN) 2 - Indwelling/suprapubic catheter 03 2 - Patient requires urinary catheter
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating M1610 Urinary Incontinence M1000 Inpatient Facilities DCd from - past 14 days	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating M1610 Urinary Incontinence M1000 Inpatient Facilities DCd from - past 14 days M1830 Bathing	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Current Assessment Intravenous or infusion therapy (excludes TPN) Indwelling/suprapubic catheter And the suprame of the suprame of
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating M1610 Urinary Incontinence M1000 Inpatient Facilities DCd from - past 14 days M1830 Bathing M1860 Ambulation	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Current Assessment Intravenous or infusion therapy (excludes TPN) Indwelling/suprapubic catheter 03 Patient requires urinary catheter Short-stay acute hospital (IPP S) Totally dependent Chairfast, unable to ambulate and unable to wheel self
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating M1610 Urinary Incontinence M1000 Inpatient Facilities DCd from - past 14 days M1830 Bathing M1860 Ambulation M1810 Dressing Upper	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Current Assessment Intravenous or infusion therapy (excludes TPN) Indwelling/suprapubic catheter 03 Patient requires urinary catheter Short-stay acute hospital (IPP S) Totally dependent Chairfast, unable to ambulate and unable to wheel self Totally dependent
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating M1610 Urinary Incontinence M1000 Inpatient Facilities DCd from - past 14 days M1830 Bathing M1860 Ambulation M1810 Dressing Upper M2020 Mgt of Oral Meds	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk I the second s
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating M1010 Urinary Incontinence M1000 Inpatient Facilities DCd from - past 14 days M1830 Bathing M1860 Ambulation M1810 Dressing Upper M2020 Mgt of Oral Meds M1100 Patient Living Situation	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Current Assessment Intravenous or infusion therapy (excludes TPN) Indwelling/suprapubic catheter 03 Patient requires urinary catheter Short-stay acute hospital (IPP S) Totally dependent Chairfast, unable to ambulate and unable to wheel self Totally dependent Unable to take unless administered Lives w/ other(s), 24 hr assistance
M1620 Bowel Incontinence Freq M1034 Overall Status Alert Type: Predictive 110058 Patient is at high risk of hospitalization. Relevant Measures M1030 Therapies received at home M1018 Cond prior to reg change/inpat stay - past 14 days M1022b Other Severity Rating M1010 Urinary Incontinence M1000 Inpatient Facilities DCd from - past 14 days M1830 Bathing M1860 Ambulation M1810 Dressing Upper M2020 Mgt of Oral Meds M1100 Patient Living Situation M1720 When Anxious	 4 - On a daily basis 2 - Likely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fragile health with ongoing high risk Ikely to remain in fra



Financial/Operational Reporting



Financial Executive Advantage

Episode Overview: Episodes Started and Completed

Avg Case Weight RAP vs Final Division 1,561 23 431 2,015 1,218 17 277 1,512 8 1 2 1 12 2 2 16 328 4 147 4 Visits by Discipline Therapy Visit Tiers - Revenue & Distribution Superior Outcomes - Ft. Wayne 241 2 46 289 245 1 35 281 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 54 0 76 2 Service Category - Revenue Service Category - Case Weight Superior Outcomes - Cleveland 219 7 84 310 237 5 45 287 1 0 0 1 0 1 21 0 2 2 10 2 100 2 2 10 2 10 2 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 10 1 2 0 2 2 10 1 10 1 2 0 2 2 10 1 10 1 10	nt Map cial Executive Advantage	100% Financial Exe Superior Outcomes F				ۍ je																	3/31/20 6/28/20
RAC Metrics LUPA Metrics Assessment Types (01) (03) (04) SOCs ROCs Re- certs Total SOCs ROCs Re- certs SOCs <th></th> <th></th> <th>Med</th> <th>licare</th> <th>Traditio</th> <th>onal</th> <th>N</th> <th>ledica</th> <th>re HMO</th> <th>)</th> <th>Med</th> <th>licaid T</th> <th>raditio</th> <th>onal</th> <th>Me</th> <th>dicai</th> <th>id HMO</th> <th>)</th> <th></th> <th>Oth</th> <th>ner</th> <th></th> <th></th>			Med	licare	Traditio	onal	N	ledica	re HMO)	Med	licaid T	raditio	onal	Me	dicai	id HMO)		Oth	ner		
Wist by Discipline Superior Outcomes - Ft. Wayne 241 2 46 289 245 1 35 281 0 0 0 1 0 1 54 0 76 <	AC Metrics				certs	Total			certs				certs				certs	Total			certs	Total	All Payers Total
Superior Outcomes - Ft. Wayne 241 2 46 289 245 1 35 281 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 54 0 76 4 Therapy Visit Tiers - Case Weight & Distribution Service Category - Revenue Superior Outcomes - Cleveland 219 7 84 310 237 5 45 287 1 0 0 1 0 1 241 0 2 Service Category - Revenue Superior Outcomes - Cleveland 219 7 84 310 237 5 45 287 1 0 0 1 0 1 21 0 2 2 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 2 5 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 2 5 1 10 1 0 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10	g Case Weight RAP vs Final	Division	1,561	23	431	2,015	1,218	17	277	1,512	8	1	2	11	12	2	2	16	328	4	147	479	3,99
Therapy Visit Tiers - Case Weight & Dis Superior Outcomes - Cleveland 219 7 84 310 237 5 45 287 1 0 0 1 0 1 21 0 2 Service Category - Case Weight Superior Outcomes - Cleveland 219 7 84 310 237 5 45 287 1 0 0 1 0 1 21 0 2 Service Category - Case Weight Superior Outcomes - Columbus 220 3 100 323 149 2 60 211 3 1 2 6 2 0 0 1 10 1 100 2 33 100<								1			0	-	-	0	1	0	0	1					
Service Category - Case Weight Superior Outcomes - Columbus 220 3 100 323 149 2 600 211 3 1 2 6 2 0 0 2 59 1 10 0-5 Therapy Visits - Revenue 0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight 538 11 213 762 421 8 122 551 6 1 2 9 3 1 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 4 90 2 31 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 82 1 25 4 4 8 291 330 7 80 417 0 0 0	erapy Visit Tiers - Case Weight & Dis		241	2	40	209	240	1	30	201	U	U	U	U	1	U	U	1	94	U	10	130	05
Service Category - Distribution Superior Outcomes - Portsmouth 99 1 29 129 35 1 17 53 2 0 2 1 0 0 1 10 1 19 0-5 Therapy Visits - Revenue 0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight 0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight 538 11 213 762 421 8 122 551 6 1 2 9 3 1 0 4 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 31 90 2 32 9 3 1 0 0 1 0 2 3 82 1 25 9 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	ervice Category - Revenue	Superior Outcomes - Cleveland	219	7	<u>84</u>	<u>310</u>	237	<u>5</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>287</u>	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	21	0	2	<u>23</u>	62
0-5 Therapy Visits - Revenue 0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight 0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight 0-5 Therapy Visits - Distribution 0-5 Therapy Visits - Distribution 239 4 48 291 330 7 80 417 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 82 1 25 25 Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh 239 4 48 291 330 7 80 417 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 82 1 25 25 Superior Outcomes - York 124 1 27 152 106 1 10 11 0 2 3 82 1 25 25 Division (PA) 363 5 75 443 436 8 90 534 0 0 0 7 1 2 10 121 1 28 443 436 8 90 534 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ervice Category - Case Weight	Superior Outcomes - Columbus	220	3	100	323	149	2	60	211	<u>3</u>	1	2	<u>6</u>	2	0	0	2	<u>59</u>	1	<u>10</u>	<u>70</u>	60
0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight 0-5 Therapy Visits - Distribution Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh 239 4 48 291 330 7 80 417 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 82 1 25 25 Superior Outcomes - York 124 1 27 152 106 1 10 117 0 0 0 6 1 0 7 39 0 3 Division (PA) 363 5 75 443 436 8 90 534 0 0 0 7 1 2 10 121 1 28 4	ervice Category - Distribution	Superior Outcomes - Portsmouth	99	1	<u>29</u>	<u>129</u>	<u>35</u>	1	17	<u>53</u>	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	<u>10</u>	1	<u>19</u>	<u>30</u>	2
0-5 Therapy Visits - Distribution Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh 239 4 48 291 330 7 80 417 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 82 1 25 25 Superior Outcomes - York 124 1 27 152 106 1 10 117 0 0 0 6 1 0 7 39 0 3 Division (PA) 363 5 75 443 436 8 90 534 0 0 0 7 1 2 10 121 1 28 7	5 Therapy Visits - Revenue	Division (OH)	538	11	213	762	421	8	122	551	6	1	2	9	3	1	0	4	90	2	31	123	1,44
Superior Outcomes - York 124 1 27 152 106 1 10 117 0 0 0 6 1 0 7 39 0 3 Division (PA) 363 5 75 443 436 8 90 534 0 0 0 7 1 2 10 121 1 28 7	5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight	Superior Outcomes – Bittsburgh	220	4	48	204	330	7	90	447	0		0	0	4	0	2	2	82	1	25	108	80
Division (PA) 363 5 75 443 436 8 90 534 0 0 0 7 1 2 10 121 1 28 443	5 Therapy Visits - Distribution							1			0	0	0	0	-	1	<u>∠</u>	2				42	31
								8			0	0	0	0	7	1	2	10					_
Superior Outcomes - Roanoke 217 0 35 252 91 0 19 110 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 26 0 6		Superior Outcomes - Roanoke	217	0	35	252	91	0	<u>19</u>	110	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	26	0	6	32	3
Superior Outcomes - Suffolk 202 5 62 269 25 0 11 36 2 0 0 0 37 1 6		Superior Outcomes - Suffolk						0		36	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0			6	44	34



Audit Risk Metrics

https://secure.shpdata.com/?reportId=160&s	hiz=WMSFP7yJ%2fVJp%2byF5pV1Zcg%3d%3d&format=	html - SH - In	ternet Ex	plorer								
i∢ ∢ 3 of 4 ? ▶ ▶i ↓	100% V Find Next	. . ©										
Document Map	Financial Executive Ad	lvantage									10/1/20	015 - 3/31/2016
Financial Executive Advantage	SHP Financial Executive Ad Superior Outcomes Home Health										Report [Date: 6/28/2016
Episodes Started							_			_		
Episodes Completed		%			Outliers		Т	herapy Visit	8		yment Thresho	
RAC Metrics	RAC Metrics	Down- codes	LUPA Rate	Total	Rate	Avg Outlier	Avg*	Avg	%	6-7 Visits	14-15 Visits	20-21 Visits
LUPA Metrics		coues		Episodes	Nate	Payment	Expected	Actual	Difference	# %	# %	#%
Avg Case Weight RAP vs Final	Division	13.0%	13.0%	3	0.2%	\$697	4.56	5.70	24.9%	234 12.8%	71 3.9%	24 1.3%
Visits by Discipline	SHP National Database	15.1%	9.8%		2.3%	\$1,730	6.75	7.16	6.0%	8.9%	5.2%	2.2%
Therapy Visit Tiers - Revenue & Distrib	Runariar Outcomes. Et Weurse	40.70/	40.00/		0.0%		4.53	5.44	40.7%	07 40 50/	45 5.000	2 0.0%
Therapy Visit Tiers - Case Weight & Dis	Superior Outcomes - Ft. Wayne Division (IN)	16.7% 16.7%	16.0% 16.0%	0	0.0%		4.53	5.14 5.14	13.7% 13.7%	27 10.5% 27 10.5%		2 0.8% 2 0.8%
Service Category - Revenue	SHP Database (IN)	15.5%	9.1%	•	1.9%	\$881	6.88	7.96	15.6%	8.7%	5.4%	2 0.0%
Service Category - Case Weight	SHE Database (IN)	10.076	0.170		1.576	9001	0.00	1.50	13.076	0.776	5.476	2.470
Service Category - Distribution	Superior Outcomes - Cleveland	9.3%	12.9%	1	0.4%	\$1,043	5.21	7.54	44.7%	<u>29</u> 10.4%	<u>16</u> 5.7%	<u>14</u> 5.0%
0-5 Therapy Visits - Revenue	Superior Outcomes - Columbus	1.0%	12.8%	0	0.0%		2.16	4.04	87.4%	<u>39</u> 13.4%	<u>6</u> 2.1%	<u>3</u> 1.0%
0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight	Superior Outcomes - Portsmouth	16.5%	10.7%	0	0.0%		3.45	3.94	14.4%	<u>16</u> 13.2%	<u>3</u> 2.5%	0 0.0%
0-5 Therapy Visits - Distribution	Division (OH)	7.1%	12.4%	1	0.1%	\$1,043	3.62	5.44	50.3%	84 12.2%	25 3.6%	17 2.5%
	SHP Database (OH)	12.8%	9.4%		3.6%	\$1,200	6.80	7.52	10.6%	7.8%	4.9%	2.2%
	Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh	10.7%	11.4%	1	0.4%	\$336	6.00	7.27	21.2%	<u>36</u> 12.9%	16 5.7%	<u>2</u> 0.7%
	Superior Outcomes - York	25.8%	15.9%	- 0	0.0%	0000	5.85	5.87	0.5%	<u>16</u> 10.6%	_	
	Division (PA)	16.0%	13.0%	1	0.2%	\$336	5.95	6.78		52 12.1%		
	SHP Database (PA)	17.6%	11.6%		2.3%	\$967	5.91	6.53	10.6%	9.6%	4.5%	1.6%
	Superior Outcomes - Roanoke	17.9%	12.4%	1	0.5%	\$711	5.49	5.34	2.7%	<u>34</u> 15.6%	<u>4</u> 1.8%	
	Superior Outcomes - Suffolk	16.2%	11.9%	0	0.0%		3.97	5.40	36.0%	<u>37</u> 15.7%		_
	Division (VA)	17.0%	12.1%	1	0.2%	\$711	4.70	5.38		71 15.7%	11 2.4%	
	SHP Database (VA)	17.2%	10.4%		2.0%	\$1,343	7.61	7.84	3.0%	9.6%	5.1%	2.4%
< >	* Providers without final claims within the reporting period are	omitted.										



Financial Patient Detail Report

Available as a drill-down from Financial Executive Advantage or as a stand-alone report

	Financial I Superior Outcon				Find M		-	٢									3/31/2016 6/28/2016
Episodes in Repor	rt: 15																
Patient Name 🗘	Ep Start 🗘	моозо 🗘	S/ROC Clinician	Down- code		Ther Visits	All Visits	HHRG	HIPPS	Case Weight	NRS Payment	Expected Revenue	Potential Revenue	Tier	Ep#	P E P	LUPA/ Outlier
Patient ID 🗘	Ep End 🗘	M0906 🗘	S/ROC Case Mgr 🗘	ICD \$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Patient, Test 1	12/11/2015 (01)	12/11/2015	Nurse, Nancy		RAP:	6		C2F3S2	1BHLS	0.9325	\$14.36	\$2,432.04	<u>\$211.44</u>	Early	1		
M0100002078101	02/04/2016	02/04/2016	Nurse, Nancy	S72.001D	Final:	15	20	C2F2S1	2BGK1	1.3809	\$14.36	\$3,594.60		14-19			
Patient, Test 2	09/11/2015 (01)	09/11/2015	Nurse, Nancy		RAP:	8		C2F3S3	1BHMS	1.0765	\$14.07	\$2,996.67		Early	1		
M0100002271101	10/27/2015	10/27/2015		491.21	Final:	15	19	C2F2S1	2BGK1	1.3497	\$14.07	\$3,753.61		14-19			
Patient, Test 3	11/17/2015 (01)	11/17/2015	Nurse, Nancy		RAP:	2		C2F3S1	1BHKS	0.8299	\$14.07	\$2,313.43		Earlv	1		
M0100002639401	12/22/2015	12/22/2015	Nurse, Nancy	M17.0	Final:	15	19	C2F2S1	2BGK1	1.3497	\$14.07	\$3,753.61		14-19			
Patient, Test 4	09/24/2015 (01)	09/24/2015	Nurse, Nancy		RAP:	14		C1F2S1	2AGKS	1.2657	\$14.07	\$3,520.88		Early	1		
M0100002460001	11/10/2015	11/10/2015	Nurse, Nancy	V54.13	Final:	14	28	C1F2S1	2AGK1	1.2657	\$14.07	\$3,520.88		14-19			
Patient, Test 5	11/17/2015 (01)	11/17/2015	Nurse, Nancy		RAP:	12		C3F3S5	1CHPT	1.4353	\$50.32	\$3,860.08		Early	1		
M0100002638301	01/15/2016	01/11/2016	Nurse, Nancy	S72.142D	Final:	14	21	C3F2S1	2CGK2	1.5053	\$50.32	\$4,045.89		14-19			
Patient, Test 6	12/01/2015 (01)	12/01/2015	Nurse, Nancy		RAP:	8		C2F3S3	1BHMS	1.0633	\$13.94	\$2,836.29		Early	1		
M0100002659601	01/27/2016		Nurse, Nancy	M54.2	Final:	15	15	C3F3S1	2CHK1	1.5805	\$13.94	\$4,209.11		14-19			
Patient, Test 7	10/22/2015 (01)	10/22/2015	Nurse, Nancy		RAP:	12		C3F3S5	1CHPS	1.4780	\$14.07	\$4,109.08		Early	1		
M0100002540301	11/27/2015	11/27/2015		169.354	Final:	15	20	C3F2S1	2CGK1	1.5288	\$14.07	\$4,249.83		14-19			

LUPA Breakdowns

	100% V Find	Next 🛃	• 😨													
ocument Map	Financial Exe Superior Outcomes H	cutive Adv	antage											10/1/2	015 - 3/	31/201
Financial Executive Advantage		lome Health												Report I	Date: 6/	28/2010
Episodes Started			Non	LUPAs					JPAs					All		
Episodes Completed	LUPA Metrics		Avg	Ava	Case	Casa		Ava	Ava	Case	Casa		Avg	Ava	Casa	Case
RAC Metrics	LUPA Metrics	Episodes	Revenue	Revenue	Wt	Wt	Episodes	Revenue	Revenue	Wt	Wt	Episodes	Revenue	Revenue	Wt	Wt
LUPA Metrics			RAP	Final	RAP	Final		RAP	Final	RAP	Final		RAP	Final	RAP	Final
Avg Case Weight RAP vs Final	Division	1,594	\$2,628	\$2,864			238	\$2,233	\$400	0.80		1,832	\$2,577	\$2,544	0.93	
Visits by Discipline	SHP National Database		\$3,012	\$3,122	1.06	1.08		\$2,437	\$409	0.84	0.73		\$2,956	\$2,857	1.04	1.05
Therapy Visit Tiers - Revenue & Distribu	Superior Outcomes - Ft. Wavne	216	\$2,630	\$2,848	0.96	1.04	<u>41</u>	\$2,198	\$380	0.80	0.75	<u>257</u>	\$2,561	\$2,454	0.93	0.99
Therapy Visit Tiers - Case Weight & Dis	Division (IN)	216	\$2,630	\$2,848	0.96	1.04	41	\$2,198	\$380	0.80	0.75	257	\$2,561	\$2,454	0.93	0.99
Service Category - Revenue	SHP Database (IN)		\$2,931	\$3,114	1.05	1.12		\$2,327	\$384	0.83	0.73		\$2,876	\$2,865	1.03	1.08
Service Category - Case Weight Service Category - Distribution	Superior Outcomes - Cleveland	244	\$2,507	\$3.088	0.90	1.12	20	\$2.206	\$400	0.79	0.74	280	\$2,469	\$2,742	0.89	1.07
0-5 Therapy Visits - Revenue	Superior Outcomes - Columbus	244	\$2,507	\$2,693	0.90	0.96	<u>36</u> 37	\$2,200	\$400	0.79	0.74	200	\$2,409	\$2,742	0.89	
0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight	Superior Outcomes - Portsmouth	108	\$2,328	\$2,695	0.92	0.96	13	\$2,299	\$400 \$414	0.83		121	\$2,525	\$2,401		
0-5 Therapy Visits - Distribution	Division (OH)	605	\$2,423	\$2,826			86	\$2,244				<u>691</u>	\$2,400	\$2,524		
	SHP Database (OH)		\$2,865	\$3.000	1.05	1.08		\$2,266	\$381				\$2,808	\$2,753		
	Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh	248	\$2,771	\$2,979	1.05	1.14	<u>32</u>	\$2,253	\$361	0.85	0.79	<u>280</u>	\$2,712	\$2,680	1.03	
	Superior Outcomes - York	<u>127</u>	\$2,982	\$2,946	1.03	1.02	<u>24</u>	\$2,137	\$432	0.73	0.71	<u>151</u>	\$2,848	\$2,547	0.98	
	Division (PA)	375	\$2,842	\$2,968			56	\$2,203	\$392	0.80		431	\$2,759	\$2,633		
	SHP Database (PA)		\$2,851	\$2,993	1.00	1.05		\$2,295	\$401	0.80	0.72		\$2,786	\$2,691	0.98	1.01
	Superior Outcomes - Roanoke	<u>191</u>	\$2,811	\$2,789	1.01	1.01	27	\$2,302	\$460	0.83	0.76	<u>218</u>	\$2,748	\$2,500	0.99	0.98
	Superior Outcomes - Suffolk	207	\$2,670	\$2,876	0.97	1.04	<u>28</u>	\$2,246	\$377	0.80	0.76	235	\$2,619	\$2,578	0.95	1.01
	Division (VA)	398	\$2,738	\$2,834	0.99	1.03	55	\$2,273	\$418	0.82	0.76	453	\$2,681	\$2,541	0.97	0.99
	SHP Database (VA)		\$3,040	\$3,106	1.11	1.12		\$2,409	\$399	0.87	0.75		\$2,975	\$2,825	1.08	1.08

Therapy Visit Analysis

Average Revenue, Case Weight, % of Episode Breakdowns

	hiz=FUIMBx%2fiLqUpTbSOdrY4nw%3d%3d&format=h	tml - SHP	- Interr	et Explo	orer		-		1 4 2	-	2.54	-	-	<i>i</i> = 1		-		
Document Map Financial Executive Advantage	Image: Index state Financial Executive A Superior Outcomes Home Health Superior Outcomes Home Health																/2015 - 3 rt Date: 6	
Episodes Started	Services Cotenany (Theseny Minite)				Ea	rly							La	ite				All
Episodes Completed RAC Metrics	Services Category (Therapy Visits) Distribution	0-5 Visits	6 Visits	7-9 Visits	10 Visits	11-13 Visits	14-15 Visits	16-17 Visits	18-19 Visits	0-5 Visits	6 Visits	7-9 Visits	10 Visits	11-13 Visits	14-15 Visits	16-17 Visits	18-19 Visits	20+ Visits
LUPA Metrics	Division	44.5%	6.6%	14.6%	3.9%	8.6%	3.6%	2.2%	1.3%	9.7%	0.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	3.3%
Avg Case Weight RAP vs Final Visits by Discipline	SHP National Database	33.7%	3.9%	11.0%	3.0%	7.6%	4.3%	3.7%	2.4%	16.8%	0.5%	1.6%	0.4%	1.2%	0.9%	0.9%	0.4%	7.9%
Therapy Visit Tiers - Revenue & Distribu	Superior Outcomes - Ft. Wayne	<u>51.0%</u>	<u>5.8%</u>	<u>12.5%</u>	<u>1.9%</u>	<u>7.0%</u>	<u>5.4%</u>	<u>1.9%</u>	<u>1.2%</u>	<u>8.9%</u>	0.0%	<u>0.4%</u>	0.0%	0.0%	<u>0.4%</u>	0.0%	0.0%	<u>3.5%</u>
Therapy Visit Tiers - Case Weight & Dis	Division (IN)	51.0%	5.8%	12.5%	1.9%	7.0%	5.4%	1.9%	1.2%	8.9%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
Service Category - Revenue	SHP Database (IN)	33.3%	3.8%	11.1%	3.2%	8.2%	4.8%	4.0%	2.9%	14.0%	0.5%	1.2%	0.3%	0.8%	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%	10.3%
Service Category - Case Weight Service Category - Distribution	Superior Outcomes - Cleveland Superior Outcomes - Columbus	<u>37.9%</u> 54.1%	<u>5.4%</u> 9.7%	<u>12.9%</u> 8.3%	<u>2.1%</u> 3.1%	<u>8.6%</u> 3.8%	<u>5.7%</u> 1.7%	<u>2.9%</u> 0.7%	<u>2.5%</u> 1.0%	<u>10.4%</u> 14.1%	<u>0.4%</u> 0.0%	<u>0.7%</u> 0.3%	0.0%	<u>0.7%</u> 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<u>10.0%</u> 2.1%
0-5 Therapy Visits - Revenue	Superior Outcomes - Portsmouth	62.0%	8.3%	8.3%	1.7%	8.3%	2.5%	2.5%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight	Division (OH)	48.9%	7.7%	10.1%	2.5%	6.5%	3.5%	1.9%	1.4%	11.1%	0.1%	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
0-5 Therapy Visits - Distribution	SHP Database (OH)	33.3%	3.5%	9.9%	2.5%	6.3%	4.1%	3.7%	2.5%	18.6%	0.4%	1.6%	0.4%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%	10.2%
	Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh	33.2%	<u>4.6%</u>	18.2%	<u>5.7%</u>	<u>15.0%</u>	<u>5.4%</u>	<u>1.4%</u>	<u>1.8%</u>	<u>8.2%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	0.0%	<u>4.3%</u>
	Superior Outcomes - York	<u>41.7%</u>	<u>6.6%</u>	<u>17.9%</u>	<u>4.6%</u>	<u>9.9%</u>	<u>2.0%</u>	<u>3.3%</u>	<u>2.0%</u>	<u>9.3%</u>	0.0%	0.0%	<u>0.7%</u>	0.0%	<u>0.7%</u>	0.0%	0.0%	<u>1.3%</u>
	Division (PA)	36.2%	5.3%	18.1%	5.3%	13.2%	4.2%	2.1%	1.9%	8.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	3.2%
	SHP Database (PA)	42.5%	4.7%	11.5%	2.9%	7.4%	4.1%	3.2%	2.1%	11.7%	0.4%	0.9%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	6.7%
	Superior Outcomes - Roanoke	<u>40.4%</u>	<u>6.4%</u>	<u>24.3%</u>	<u>7.3%</u>	<u>5.5%</u>	<u>1.8%</u>	<u>1.8%</u>	<u>0.5%</u>	<u>9.6%</u>	0.0%	<u>0.9%</u>	<u>0.5%</u>	<u>0.5%</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<u>0.5%</u>
	Superior Outcomes - Suffolk	<u>43.4%</u>	<u>6.4%</u>	<u>14.9%</u>	<u>4.7%</u>	<u>11.1%</u>	<u>2.6%</u>	<u>3.8%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	<u>8.5%</u>	<u>0.9%</u>	<u>0.9%</u>	0.0%	<u>0.9%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	0.0%	0.0%	<u>1.3%</u>
	Division (VA)	41.9%	6.4%	19.4%	6.0%	8.4%	2.2%	2.9%	0.4%	9.1%	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
	SHP Database (VA)	34.8%	4.3%	13.0%	3.4%	8.6%	4.5%	3.7%	2.6%	11.8%	0.4%	1.2%	0.3%	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	9.4%
< >	<																	>

Visit Utilization

https://secure.shpdata.com/?reportId=160&sh	niz=FUIMBx%2fiLqUpTbSOdrY4nw%3d%3d&fo	rmat=ht	ml - SH	IP - In	ternet	Explore	er	-	-		-	-	in. 1			-		a. (-				x
i ◀ 6 of 14 ▶ i ↓	100% V Find N	lext	-	٩																			^
Document Map	Financial Execu	itive A	dvar	ntage																10/1/20	015 - 3/3	31/2016	
Financial Executive Advantage	Superior Outcomes Hom	e Health																	F	Report [Date: 6/2	28/2016	
Episodes Started																						,	
Episodes Completed	Average Visits by Discipline				n LUP							LUPAs							All				
RAC Metrics			HHA	PT	ОТ	ST	MSW			HHA	PT	ОТ				SN		PT	от		MSW		
LUPA Metrics	Division	6.13	1.05	5.05	1.22	0.20		13.75		0.02	0.46	0.06	0.00	0.02	2.71	5.61	0.92	4.46	1.07	0.17	0.08	12.32	
Avg Case Weight RAP vs Final	SHP National Database	8.19	1.42	5.67	1.80	0.40	0.18	17.66	2.02	0.03	0.52	0.07	0.02	0.03	2.69	7.59	1.29	5.16	1.63	0.37	0.16	16.20	
Visits by Discipline	Superior Outcomes - Ft. Wayne	6.46	2.00	4.60	1.33	0.11	0.20	14.71	2.22	0.00	0.37	0.02	0.00	0.05	2.66	5.78	1.68	3.93	1.12	0.09	0.18	12.79	
Therapy Visit Tiers - Revenue & Distribu		6.46	2.00	4.60				14.71		0.00				0.05		5.78	1.68		1.12		0.18	12.79	
Therapy Visit Tiers - Case Weight & Dis	SHP Database (IN)	7.67	1.77	5.79	2.51	0.40	0.16	18.30	1.98	0.04	0.48	0.10	0.01	0.03	2.64	7.15	1.61	5.30	2.29	0.37	0.15	16.87	
Service Category - Revenue												_											
Service Category - Case Weight	Superior Outcomes - Cleveland	6.69	1.40	6.52	1.65	0.40			2.08	0.08	0.53	0.06	0.00		2.75	6.10	1.23	5.75	1.44	0.35	0.13	14.99	
Service Category - Distribution	Superior Outcomes - Columbus	5.60	0.27	3.59	0.70	0.26		10.42	1.95	0.00	0.57	0.03	0.00		2.54	5.13	0.24	3.21	0.61	0.22		9.41	
0-5 Therapy Visits - Revenue	Superior Outcomes - Portsmouth	6.55			1.21	0.00	0.01		2.38	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.92	6.10	0.15	2.86	1.08	0.00	0.01		
0-5 Therapy Visits - Case Weight	Division (OH)	6.21	0.71	4.69	1.17			13.11	2.07		0.55	0.03										11.81	
0-5 Therapy Visits - Distribution	SHP Database (OH)	8.60	1.85	5.63	2.13	0.49	0.18	18.87	2.02	0.03	0.46	0.09	0.02	0.02	2.65	7.97	1.68	5.14	1.94	0.44	0.16	17.34	
	Superior Outcomes - Pittsburgh	5.60	0.67	5.38	2.46	0.31	0.10	14.52	1.97	0.00	0.41	0.13	0.00	0.03	2.53	5.19	0.60	4.81	2.19	0.27	0.09	13.15	
	Superior Outcomes - York	6.21	1.81	5.89	1.01	0.00	0.17	15.09	2.33	0.04	0.38	0.08	0.00	0.04	2.88	5.60	1.53	5.01	0.86	0.00	0.15	13.15	
	Division (PA)	5.81	1.06	5.55	1.97	0.20	0.13	14.71	2.13	0.02	0.39	0.11	0.00	0.04	2.68	5.33	0.92	4.88	1.72	0.18	0.11	13.15	
	SHP Database (PA)	8.26	1.29	5.06	1.90	0.37	0.12	17.00	2.15	0.02	0.39	0.07	0.02	0.02	2.67	7.55	1.14	4.52	1.69	0.33	0.11	15.33	
	Superior Outcomes - Roanoke	5.52	0.95	4.90	0.96	0.15		12.53	2.33	0.00	0.52	0.19	0.00	0.04	3.07	5.13	0.83	4.35	0.86	0.13		11.36	
	Superior Outcomes - Suffolk	6.72			0.16					0.00	0.39	0.00	0.00		2.54	6.18	1.01				0.05		
	Division (VA)	6.15		5.38	0.54			13.30		0.00	0.45	0.09	0.00				0.92					12.03	
	SHP Database (VA)		0.89		1.86	0.57	0.10	17.58	1.88	0.02	0.69	0.09	0.03	0.01	2.72	7.31	0.80	5.65	1.67	0.51	0.09	16.04	
< >	* Providers without final claims within the reporting	period ar	e omitte	d.																			\sim

Visit Utilization by DX and HHRG

USHP Visit Utilization Superior Outcomes Hol	-	-	nosi	s and	d HHI	RG																31/2016 28/2016							
Visits by Primary Diagnosis																							L .						
	Your	Avera	age Vi	sits					5	SHP St	ate (F	PA)				SHP	Natio	onal											
Primary Diagnosis Group	SN	PT	от	ST	MSW	нна	All	Episod Count		SN	PT	от	ST I	visw	HHA AII	SN	РТ	от	ST	MSW	и нна	AII							
Infectious/Parasitic	7.2	4.0	0.6	0.0	0.2	1.4	13.4	18	3	7.8 :	3.6	1.4	0.1	0.1	1.1 14.1	8.0	4.1	1.4	0.2	0.2	1.1	14.9	1						
Neoplasms	6.2	2.9	0.8	0.3	0.3	1.1	11.6	3	7	7.7	2.5	0.9	0.2	0.2	1.2 12.6	7.4	3.1	1.0	0.3	0.2	1.3	13.3							
Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes)	4 1	3.0	07	0.0	03	0.0								01	10 12.8	75	3.1	10	02	02	13	13.3							
Diabetes	며	CI) (/isit l	Jtiliz	atio	n by C)iag	nosi	s an	d HH	RG														/01/201		
Blood/Blood-Forming Organs	ш	J		S	uperior	Outcor	mes Ho	ome Heal	h																	R	eport D	ate: 6/2	28/201
Mental/Behavioral/Neurodev	Visite	hy L	лпрс	with	out LU																								
Nervous System/Eyes/Ears	VISIUS	ѕ Бу Г	INKG	with		IFAS																							
Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)	Your Average Visits SHP State (PA)											SHP	Natio	nal															
Circulatory - Hypertension	HHRG								PT	от	ST	MSW	нна	All	Episode	SN	РТ	от	ST	MSW	нна	All	SN	РТ	от	ST	MSW	нна	All
Circulatory - Heart Failure	SN														Count			<u> </u>							0.				
Circulatory - CVD	C1F1S							6.3	-	-	-	0.2	0.2	6.8	13	7.6	-	-	-	0.1	0.8	8.5	7.7	-	-	-	0.2	1.0	8.8
Respiratory (not COPD)	C1F1S							6.3	-	-	-	0.5	4.5	11.3	-	5.5	-	-	-	0.1	1.4	7.0	5.4	-	-	-	0.2	1.3	6.9
Respiratory - COPD	C1F1S	-						6.7	-	-	-	0.0	3.3	10.0	3	6.3	-	-	-	0.1	1.2	7.7	5.6	-	-	-	0.2	1.2	6.9
Digestive	C1F1S	4						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	5.2	-	-	-	0.2	0.4	5.8	5.3	-	-	-	0.2	0.5	6.0
Genitourinary	C1F1S							0.0	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	5.9	-	-	-	0.2	0.4	6.5	5.6	-	-	-	0.2	0.6	6.4
- szimp	C1F2S							6.3	-	-	-	0.3	0.7	7.2	52	7.8	-	-	-	0.1	1.2	9.1	8.4	-	-	-	0.2	1.4	9.9
	C1F2S	2						4.5	-	-	-	0.3	0.0	4.8	8	5.7	-	-	-	0.1	1.0	6.8	5.7	-	-	-	0.2	1.2	7.0
	C1F2S	3						6.8	-	-	-	0.1	1.4	8.3	12	5.9	-	-	-	0.1	1.0	7.0	5.8	-	-	-	0.2	1.1	7.0
	C1F2S							5.8	-	-	-	0.2	0.0	6.0	5	6.2	-	-	-	0.1	0.9	7.2	6.0	-	-	-	0.2	1.0	7.2
	C1F2S	5						6.9	-	-	-	0.5	3.4	10.8	8	6.6	-	-	-	0.1	1.2	8.0	6.4	-	-	-	0.2	1.2	7.8
	C1F3S							7.3	-	-	-	0.2	2.8	10.3	16	7.7	-	-	-	0.1	1.8	9.6	8.4	-	-	-	0.2	1.9	10.5
	C1F3S	2						5.0	-	-	-	0.0	1.9	6.9	7	5.7	-	-	-	0.1	1.0	6.9	5.7	-	-	-	0.2	1.2	7.0
	C1F3S	3						4.4	-	-	-	0.3	0.4	5.1	12	5.9	-	-	-	0.1	1.1	7.2	6.0	-	-	-	0.2	1.2	7.4
	C1F3S	54						10.0	-	-	-	0.5	4.5	15.0	2	6.0	-	-	-	0.1	1.3	7.4	6.2	-	-	-	0.2	1.2	7.6
	C1F3S	5						7.3	-	-	-	0.5	0.5	8.3	6	6.4	-	-	-	0.2	1.5	8.1	6.5	-	-	-	0.2	1.3	8.0
	C2F1S	61						6.2	-	-	-	0.1	0.1	6.5	25	8.5	-	-	-	0.1	0.7	9.4	8.3	-	-	-	0.2	0.9	9.4
	C2F1S	2						12.0	-	-		1.0	0.0	13.0	1	6.6	-	-	-	0.1	1.5	.8.3	6.4	-	-	-	0.2	1.4	8.0



Scorecards



Setting Parameters

- First, download and review the User Guide for the report in order to understand the parameters that can be set
- Next select either the Scorecard Overview or Scorecard, depending on which report you wish to run

Best Practice Recommendation: It is typically easiest to run the Scorecard Overview report first, then drill-down to the individual Scorecard report that you wish to view.



Data Types and Report Layouts

- Use the Data Type drop-down to select which element you would like to run the report by (e.g. – SOC/ROC Clinician, Team, Referral Source, etc.)
 - NOTE: Some of the new data types must be specifically added to the SHP interface by your home health software vendor.

If you are not sure which of these fields your vendor provides, please contact your SHP Customer Manager for assistance.

Depending on which Data Type you select, one of the two available Report Layouts will be automatically selected. However, you can use the drop-down to manually select either Report Layout for any Data Type.

Data Type

SOC/ROC Clinician Current Clinician SOC/ROC Case Manager Current Case Manager Team Provider CCN Referral Source Primary Payer Name Primary DX Category Prior Inpatient Facility Physician Custom Group

Report Layout

Use Recommended Staff & Agency Layout Patient Source Layout

Running the Report

Now that the parameters are set, you're ready to run the report by clicking the View on Web button.

Provides at planet well work weil all your climates, steams, provides. Image: provides at planet blanet steams, provides. Provides at planet steams, outcomes, provides. Image: provides at planet steams, outcomes, provides at planet steams, provides. Image: planet steams, provides. Image: planet steams, outcomes, planet steams, provides. Image: planet steams, provides. Image: planet steams, planet steams, planet steams, provides. Image: planet steams, planet	Scorecard Overview																			
grouping in one sortable rigot. Metrics indiget. Metrics in			.	ers,																
View on Web Case load Soc Soc <td></td> <td></td> <td>SHP Report Viewer - Internet Ex</td> <td>plorer</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>x</td>			SHP Report Viewer - Internet Ex	plorer					-											x
Image: Constraint of the constrain		more. Drill down to the full detailed Scorecard	Drimer		∳ Catero			d Next	-	٢				Stand: (06/15 05/	16 30/60 Day	v: 03/15 02/1		E: 03/15 02/1	6
Provider (99013) Superior Outcomes Home Health - Santa E SHP National Avg 105 102 105	And the same	View on Web			_	-								Stand. t	0/10-00/	10, 30/00 Da	y. 03/13-02/1			
Provider (99013) Superior Outcomes Home Health - Santa E SHP National Avg 105 102 105			Aggregate	Case	eload	Ηo		Payer Mix		Medicare 1	Traditional		Hospital	lizations		Quality	Measure C	omposite	Scores	Ē
Provider (99013) Superior Outcomes Home Health - Santa E SHP National Avg 105 102 105	Parameters			SOCs	DCs	verall [*] C Scol						-					Outcomes	PAEs	HHCAHPS	
Provider (eduits) superior Outcomes Home Health - sama is SHP State (FL) Image: ShP State (FL)	Provider Selection		High/Low Better (+/-)	n/a	n/a	<u> </u>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	l
Bit Bit Basis Bosis 13% 7% 1.15 18.07 13% 13% 16% 24% 95% 73% 0.46% 86% Data Type Cour Organization 6,792 5,88 88% 65% 34% 1% 1.16 12.94 12% 14% 16% 21% 95% 77% 0.31% 86% Data Type Cour Organization 6,792 5,88 88% 65% 34% 1% 1.16 12.94 12% 14% 16% 21% 95% 77% 0.31% 86% SOC/ROC Clinician Circulatory Circulatory Circulatory Circulatory 91% 58% 39% 27% 1.15 13.10 86% 90%	Provider V (9	9013) Superior Outcomes Home Health - Santa B	SHP National Avg			88%	61%	23%	17%	1.05	16.22	12%	12%	16%	24%	95%	72%	0.53%	86%	1
Data Type Primary DX Category + +			SHP State (FL)			88%	80%	13%	7%	1.15	18.07	13%	13%	16%	24%	95%	73%	0.46%	86%	1
SOC/ROC Clinician ✓ Aftercare 631 739 91% 58% 39% 22% 1.15 13.10 8% 6% 99% 12% 96% 82% 0.12% 90% Blood/Blood/Blood/Forming Organs 37 32 86% 73% 22% 5% 0.95 9.53 29% 0% 29% 30% 97% 62% 0.78% 79% Circulatory - CVD 314 287 89% 67% 33% 0% 1.51 15.99 11% 33% 17% 21% 95% 81% 0.25% 80% Circulatory - VD 314 287 89% 67% 33% 0% 1.14 12.82 21% 22% 25% 38% 96% 75% 0.20% 85% Circulatory - Hypertension 148 126 88% 74% 24% 1% 1.04 10.33 16% 8% 20% 95% 76% 0.20% 85% 63% 0.066 8.00 0% 1.15 11.13 12.5% 11% 0.4%			Your Organization	6,792	5,886	88%	65%	34%	1%	1.16	12.94	12%	14%	16%	21%	96%	77%	0.31%	82%	1
Biod/Biod/Forming Organs 37 32 86% 73% 22% 5% 0.95 9.53 29% 30% 97% 62% 0.78% 79% Circulatory - CVD 314 227 89% 67% 33% 0% 1.15 15.99 11% 33% 17% 21% 95% 81% 0.25% 80% 78% Circulatory - CVD 314 227 89% 67% 33% 0% 1.14 15.99 11% 33% 17% 21% 95% 81% 0.25% 88% 78% 1.15 11% 33% 17% 21% 95% 81% 0.25% 88% 88% 16% 1.14 12.62 21% 22% 25% 38% 96% 75% 0.20% 85% 85% Circulatory - Hpart Failure 306 247 89% 77% 23% 0% 1.07 10.63 6% 0.06 8% 0.06 8% 0.06 8% 0.06 8% 0.06 8% 0.06 8% 0.06 7% 0.06 8% </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Primary DX Category 🗘</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td>			Primary DX Category 🗘	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Circulatory - CVD31428789%67%33%0%1.5115.9911%33%17%21%95%81%0.25%80%Circulatory - Heart Failure30624788%77%23%0%1.1412.8221%22%22%38%96%75%0.20%85%Circulatory - Hypertension14812688%77%23%0%1.0710.626%0%10%20%95%76%0.49%85%Circulatory (nd HTN, HF or CVD)58549989%73%26%1%1.0410.9316%8%20%20%25%95%76%0.49%85%Congenital Anomalies3490%71%29%0%0.668.000%1.1312.5711%0%11%21%95%6.3%0.20%83%Diabetes15513788%62%32%0%1.011.0311%1.0%11%21%95%7.3%0.01%83%Diabetes15513788%66%32%0%1.011.01%1.0%1.1%21%95%7.3%0.20%83%Diabetes15%13%66%33%0%1.001.10%9%1.0%1.1%21%95%7.3%0.20%83%Diabetes12%85%67%33%67%33%0%1.0011.0%9%1.0% <t< td=""><td>SOC/ROC Clinician V</td><td></td><td>Aftercare</td><td>631</td><td>739</td><td>91%</td><td>58%</td><td>39%</td><td>2%</td><td>1.15</td><td>13.10</td><td>8%</td><td>6%</td><td>9%</td><td>12%</td><td>96%</td><td>82%</td><td>0.12%</td><td>90%</td><td>1</td></t<>	SOC/ROC Clinician V		Aftercare	631	739	91%	58%	39%	2%	1.15	13.10	8%	6%	9%	12%	96%	82%	0.12%	90%	1
Circulatory - Heart Failure30624789%77%23%0%1.1412.8221%22%25%38%96%77%0.20%85%Circulatory - Hypertension14812688%74%24%1%1.0710.626%0%10%20%95%76%0.49%85%Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)58549989%73%26%1%1.0410.9316%8%20%22%95%78%0.25%83%Congenital Anomalies3490%71%29%0%0.668.000%1.1%21%95%73%0.91%83%Diabetes15513788%52%47%1%1.1312.5711%0%11%21%95%73%0.91%83%Digestive19817288%68%32%0%1.0011.009%11%21%95%74%0.34%73%Diabetes)19817287%67%33%0%1.0011.009%11%13%95%74%0.34%73%Diabetes)19817495%87%67%33%0%1.0011.009%11%13%95%74%0.34%73%Diabetes)16%11495%90%61%38%1%1.0611.238%5%11%13%95%74%0.24%84%<			Blood/Blood-Forming Organs	37	32	86%	73%	22%	5%	0.95	9.53	29%	0%	29%	30%	97%	62%	0.78%	79%	
Circulatory - Hypertension14812688%74%24%1%1.0710.626%0%10%20%95%76%0.49%85%Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)58549989%73%26%1%1.0410.9316%8%20%22%95%78%0.25%83%Congenital Anomalies3490%71%29%0%0.668.000%1.00%11%21%95%73%0.01%94%Diabetes15513788%52%47%1%1.1312.5711%0%11%95%73%0.01%83%Digestive19817288%66%32%0%1.0011.009%10%14%95%74%0.34%73%Digestive19817285%66%33%0%1.0011.009%14%21%95%74%0.34%73%Diabetes1981149587%66%33%0%1.0011.009%14%21%95%74%0.34%73%Diabetes114495790%61%38%1%1.0611.238%51%11%13%95%63%0.22%84%Pactors Influencing Status/Sup Class1,14495790%61%38%1%1.0611.238%51%11%13%0.25%83%0.22%84% </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Circulatory - CVD</td> <td>314</td> <td>287</td> <td>89%</td> <td>67%</td> <td>33%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>1.51</td> <td>15.99</td> <td>11%</td> <td>33%</td> <td>17%</td> <td>21%</td> <td>95%</td> <td>81%</td> <td>0.25%</td> <td>80%</td> <td>1</td>			Circulatory - CVD	314	287	89%	67%	33%	0%	1.51	15.99	11%	33%	17%	21%	95%	81%	0.25%	80%	1
Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD) 585 499 89% 73% 26% 1% 1.04 10.93 16% 8% 20% 22% 95% 78% 0.25% 83% Congenital Anomalies 3 4 90% 71% 29% 0% 0.66 8.00 0% - 0% 20% 95% 78% 0.25% 83% Diabetes 155 137 88% 52% 47% 1% 1.13 12.57 11% 0% 11% 21% 95% 73% 0.25% 83% Diabetes 155 137 88% 52% 47% 1% 1.13 12.57 11% 0% 11% 21% 95% 73% 0.91% 83% Digestive 198 172 88% 68% 32% 0% 1.00 11.03 13% 0% 14% 95% 74% 0.34% 73% Diabetes) 194 25 25 87% 61% 33% 0% 1.06 11.23 8% 5% 11% 13%<			Circulatory - Heart Failure	306	247	89%	77%	23%	0%	1.14	12.82	21%	22%	25%	38%	96%	75%	0.20%	85%	1
Congenital Anomalies3490%71%29%0%0.668.000%-0%20%90%84%0.00%94%Diabetes15513788%52%47%1%1.1312.5711%0%11%21%95%73%0.91%83%Digestive19817288%68%32%0%1.0710.8713%0%17%24%95%73%0.20%80%Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes)252587%67%33%0%1.0011.009%10%14%21%95%74%0.34%73%Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class1,1495790%61%38%1%1.0611.238%5%11%13%95%83%0.22%84%			Circulatory - Hypertension	148	126	88%	74%	24%	1%	1.07	10.62	6%	0%	10%	20%	95%	76%	0.49%	85%	1
Diabetes 155 137 88% 52% 47% 1% 1.13 12.57 11% 0% 11% 21% 95% 73% 0.91% 83% Digestive 198 172 88% 68% 32% 0% 1.13 12.57 11% 0% 11% 21% 95% 73% 0.91% 83% Digestive 198 172 88% 68% 32% 0% 1.07 10.87 13% 0% 11% 21% 95% 73% 0.91% 83% Endocrine/Nutrit/Immu (not Diabetes) 25 25 87% 67% 33% 0% 1.00 11.00 9% 14% 21% 95% 74% 0.34% 73% Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class 1,14 957 90% 61% 38% 1% 1.06 11.23 8% 5% 11% 95% 83% 0.22% 84%			Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)	585	499	89%	73%	26%	1%	1.04	10.93	16%	8%	20%	22%	95%	78%	0.25%	83%	1
Digestive 198 172 88% 66% 33% 0% 1.07 10.87 13% 0% 17% 24% 95% 78% 0.20% 88% Endocrine/Nutrit/Immu (not Diabetes) 25 25 87% 66% 33% 0% 1.00 11.00 9% 14% 21% 95% 74% 0.34% 73% Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class 1.14 957 90% 61% 38% 11% 1.06 11.23 8% 5% 11% 13% 95% 83% 0.22% 84%			Congenital Anomalies	3	4	90%	71%	29%	0%	0.66	8.00	0%	-	0%	20%	90%	84%	0.00%	94%	1
Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes) 25 25 87% 67% 33% 0% 1.00 11.00 9% 14% 21% 95% 74% 0.34% 73% Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class 1.144 957 90% 61% 38% 1% 1.06 11.23 8% 5% 11% 13% 95% 83% 0.22% 84%			Diabetes	155	137	88%	52%	47%	1%	1.13	12.57	11%	0%	11%	21%	95%	73%	0.91%	83%	1
Diabetes) 25 25 87% 67% 33% 0% 1.00 11.00 9% 100% 14% 21% 95% 74% 0.34% 73% Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class 1,144 957 90% 61% 38% 1% 1.06 11.23 8% 5% 11% 13% 95% 83% 0.22% 84%			Digestive	198	172	88%	68%	32%	0%	1.07	10.87	13%	0%	17%	24%	95%	78%	0.20%	80%	1
				25	25	87%	67%	33%	0%	1.00	11.00	9%	100%	14%	21%	95%	74%	0.34%	73%	
			Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class	1,144	957	90%	61%	38%	1%	1.06	11.23	8%	5%	11%	13%	95%	83%	0.22%	84%	1
				1			1									1			€ 100%	•
		L																		

Sorting the Overview Report

- To sort the report, click on the southous at the top of each column.
- To sort by a different column, simply click on the for that column.

		Catego Home He	-	erview							Stand: (06/15-05/1	6, 30/60 Day	y: 03/15-02/10	·	S: 03/15-02/1 ate: 6/16/201
Augura a sta	Case	load	Ξo		Payer Mix		Medicare 1	raditional		Hospita	lizations		Quality	Measure C	omposite	Scores
Aggregate Scores	SOCs	DCs	Overall* HHC Score	Medicare Trad	Medicare HMO/Adv	Non Medicare	Case Weight	Visits (all disc)	30-Day All	Rehosp Rev DX	60-Day ACH	All ACH	Process Measures	Outcomes	PAEs	HHCAHPS
High/Low Better (+/-)	n/a	n/a	6 *	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	÷	+	-	+
SHP National Avg			88%	61%	23%	17%	1.05	16.22	12%	12%	16%	24%	95%	72%	0.53%	86%
SHP State (CA)			87%	49%	37%	15%	0.98	13.56	11%	10%	13%	18%	95%	68%	0.48%	84%
Your Organization	6,792	5,886	88%	65%	34%	1%	1.16	12.94	12%	14%	16%	21%	96%	77%	0.31%	82%
Primary DX Category 🗘	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Mental/Behavioral/Neurodev	27	29	85%	62%	38%	0%	1.09	10.89	20%	100%	6%	15%	95%	69%	0.63%	53%
(Disclosure Not Authorized)	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70%
Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes)	25	25	87%	67%	33%	0%	1.00	11.00	9%	100%	14%	21%	95%	74%	0.34%	73%
Infectious/Parasitic	69	54	88%	71%	29%	0%	1.09	11.46	13%	67%	13%	19%	94%	79%	0.65%	74%
Skin/Subcutaneous - Pressure Ulcers	102	76	86%	57%	42%	1%	1.07	14.87	28%	0%	30%	41%	96%	61%	1.16%	78%
Blood/Blood-Forming Organs	37	32	86%	73%	22%	5%	0.95	9.53	29%	0%	29%	30%	97%	62%	0.78%	79%
Neoplasms	152	116	88%	64%	36%	1%	1.05	11.53	0%	-	36%	39%	95%	74%	0.15%	79%
Digestive	198	172	88%	68%	32%	0%	1.07	10.87	13%	0%	17%	24%	95%	78%	0.20%	80%
Circulatory - CVD	314	287	89%	67%	33%	0%	1.51	15.99	11%	33%	17%	21%	95%	81%	0.25%	80%
Diabetes	155	137	88%	52%	47%	1%	1.13	12.57	11%	0%	11%	21%	95%	73%	0.91%	83%
Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)	585	499	89%	73%	26%	1%	1.04	10.93	16%	8%	20%	22%	95%	78%	0.25%	83%
(No DX)	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84%
Factors Influencing Status/Sup Class	1,144	957	90%	61%	38%	1%	1.06	11.23	8%	5%	11%	13%	95%	83%	0.22%	84%
Injury/Poisoning	608	488	89%	63%	36%	1%	1.18	16.26	11%	9%	14%	19%	96%	76%	0.38%	85%
Circulatory - Hypertension	148	126	88%	74%	24%	1%	1.07	10.62	6%	0%	10%	20%	95%	76%	0.49%	85%
Circulatory - Heart Failure	306	247	89%	77%	23%	0%	1.14	12.82	21%	22%	25%	38%	96%	75%	0.20%	85%
Pregnancy/Birth/Puerperium/Perinatal	1	0	-	0%	0%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Theraov/Rehab	1	1	_	100%	0%	0%	1.08	7.00	-	-	0%	0%	100%	83%	0.00%	-



Drilling-Down to the Scorecard Report

Click on any of the blue names in the left-hand column of the Overview report to open up the Scorecard for that value.

	-	_									Stand: 0	6/15-05/1	16, 30/60 Da	y: 03/15-02/1		ate: 6/13/20	16	
Aggregate	Case	load	ЧНО		Payer Mix		Medicare 1			Hospital				Measure C	composite	Scores		
Scores	SOCs	DCs	Overall* HHC Score		Medicare HMO/Adv	Non Medicare	Case Weight	Visits (all disc)	30-Day All	Rehosp Rev DX	60-Day ACH	All ACH	Process Measures	Outcomes	PAEs	HHCAHPS		
ligh/Low Better (+/-)	n/a	n/a	e *	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+		
SHP National Avg			88%	61%	23%	17%	1.05	16.22	12%	12%	16%	24%	95%	72%	0.53%	86%		
SHP State (FL)			88%	80%	13%	7%	1.15	18.07	13%	13%	16%	24%	95%	73%	0.46%	86%		
Your Organization	6,792				• .													
rour organization		- <u>A</u>	SHP Rep	ort Viewei	r - Interne	et Explore	er .											
	\$		SHP Rep	ort Viewei	r - Interne	et Explore	er	a. 21	lane -	17 100	1000	. 2	-	and the	1.000			
Primary DX Category 🗘							er 👍	a. 271						inter P	Trans. 8			
Primary DX Category 🗘	\$		4 4	1 0	of1 ♪	⊳∎	4		F	ind Ne:		a, -	٢	ine. P	1.000			
Aftercare Slood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD	\$ 631 37 314				of1 ♪	⊳∎	er ∳ X Categ		F	ind Ne:	xt 🕻	. -	٢	0/60 Day: 03				
Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure	\$ 631 37 314 306			1 0	ofı ⊳ Prin	⊳⊫ nary D	4	ory Sco	F	ind Ne:	xt 🕻	. -	٢				: 03/15	i-02/16
Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension	 € 631 37 314 306 148 				of 1 ▷ Prin Super	DI nary D) ior Outcon	∳ X Categ	ory Sco ealth	r∎ Fi	ind Ne: r d	xt St	4, - and: 06/°	(2) 15-05/16, 3			, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)	 631 37 314 306 148 585 				of 1 ▷ Prin Super	DI nary D) ior Outcon	∳ X Categ	ory Sco ealth	r∎ Fi	ind Ne: r d	xt 🕻	4, - and: 06/°	(2) 15-05/16, 3			, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD) Congenital Anomalies	 631 37 314 306 148 585 3 				of 1 ▷ Prin Super	DI nary D) ior Outcon	∳ X Categ	ory Sco ealth	precai	ind Ne: rd DX Ca	xt Sta	and: 06/* : After	(2) 15-05/16, 3 Care	0/60 Day: 0:		, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD) Congenital Anomalies Diabetes	 631 37 314 306 148 585 3 155 				of 1 ▷ Prin Super	Inary D) ior Outcon	∳ X Categ	ory Sco ealth	r∎ Fi	ind Ne: rd DX Ca DX	xt Sta	4, - and: 06/°	(2) (15-05/16, 3) (care) F			, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD) Congenital Anomalies Diabetes Digestive	 631 631 37 314 306 148 585 3 155 198 				of 1 Prin Super	Inary D) ior Outcon	∳ X Categ	ory Sco ealth	Fi Drecal imary Primary	ind Ne: rd DX Ca DX	xt Sta sta ategory Yo	and: 06/ : After ur Org Tot	(2) (15-05/16, 3) (care) F	0/60 Day: 0: Yrimary DX	3/15-02/16	, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Primary DX Category Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD) Congenital Anomalies Diabetes Digestive Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes)	\$ 631 37 314 306 148 585 3 155 198 25		4 4 91%		of 1 Prin Super	Inary D) ior Outcon	∳ X Categ	ealth	F Drecal imary Primary Catego	rd DX Ca	xt st st ategory ^{Yor} Avg	and: 06/ After ur Org Tot 8 6	(2) 15-05/16, 3 Care	0/60 Day: 0: Primary DX % of Total	3/15-02/16 9%	, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Primary DX Category Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD) Congenital Anomalies Diabetes Diabetes Digestive Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes)	\$ 631 37 314 306 148 585 3 155 198 25	SC	4 4 91% DCs (01) DCs (03)		of 1 Prin Super	Inary D) ior Outcon Score	∳ X Categ	ealth	F precar imary Primary Catego	rd DX Ca DX DX 631	xt St St ategory Avg 271.6	and: 06/ After ur Org Tot 8 6 0	(2) 15-05/16, 3 Care 6 8 8 5,792	0/60 Day: 03 Primary DX % of Total 9.2	3/15-02/16 9% 0%	, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Primary DX Category Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD) Congenital Anomalies Diabetes Digestive Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes)	\$ 631 37 314 306 148 585 3 155 198 25	SC	4 4 91% DCs (01) DCs (03)	0verall	of 1 Prin Super	Inary D) ior Outcon Score	∳ X Categ	ealth	Finary Primary Catego	ind Ner rd DX Ca DX ory 631 41	xt St St tegory You Avg 271.6 34.3	and: 06/ : After ur Org Tot 8 6 0 7 1	(5) 15-05/16, 3 (Care) al 5,792 789	0/60 Day: 03 Primary DX % of Total 9.21 5.21	3/15-02/16 9% 0% 8%	, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Primary DX Category Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD) Congenital Anomalies Diabetes Diabetes Digestive Endocrine/Nutrit/Immun (not Diabetes)	\$ 631 37 314 306 148 585 3 155 198 25	SC	4 4 91% 0Cs (01) 0Cs (03) ccerts (03 ansfers (0verall	of 1 Prin Super HHC Caselor 5-60), (04)	Inary D) ior Outcon Score	∳ X Categ	ealth	Finary Primary Catego	Ind Net rd DX Ca DX DX 07y 631 41 68	xt 5t St Ategory 271.6 34.3 63.1	And: 06/ : After ur Org Tot 8 6 0 7 1 9 1	(\$) 15-05/16, 3 (Care) (5,792) 789 1,453	0/60 Day: 03 Primary DX % of Total 9.2 5.2 4.6	3/15-02/16 9% 0% 8% 7%	, HHCAHPS	: 03/15	i-02/16
Aftercare Blood/Blood-Forming Organs Circulatory - CVD Circulatory - Heart Failure Circulatory - Hypertension Circulatory (not HTN, HF or CVD)	\$ 631 37 314 306 148 585 3 155 198 25	SC RC Re Tri	91% DCs (01) DCs (03) ansfers (03 ansfers (03 ansfers (03)	1 0 0verall 0verall 06), (07) (07), (08),	of 1 Prin Super HHC Caselor 5-60), (04)	Inary D) ior Outcon Score	∳ X Categ	ealth	Finary Primary Catego	rd DX Ca DX 079 631 41 68 114 739	xt St St tegory Yo Avg 271.6 34.3 63.1 71.0	And: 06/ : After ur Org Tot 8 6 0 7 1 9 1	Care s,792 1,453 1,635	0/60 Day: 03 Primary DX % of Total 9.24 5.21 4.64 6.9 12.54	3/15-02/16 9% 0% 8% 7%	, HHCAHPS	:: 03/15 ate: 6/1:	i-02/16



Spark Lines

What are spark lines?

- Spark lines are small graphics used to demonstrate trended historical performance.
- These mini-trends appear next to each score and indicate the high and low point for each measure over the 12 month period covered by the graph.

C Clinician 1.17 3.06 6.44% 23.35%		1.17 3.06 6.44%	1.17 3.06 6.44%
3.06 6.44% 23.35%	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		3.06
6.44% 23.35%			
23.35%	$\sim\sim$	6.44%	6.44%
		6.44%	6.44%
2.47%			
/ 2.08	$\sim \sim$	23.35%	23.35%
68.86			
	_~~~~	2.47%	2.47%
	\sim	2.08	2.08
	\sim	68.86	68.86
	\checkmark		68.86 2.47% 2.08

Drilling-down to Patient Detail

When viewing the Scorecard report in the **Report Viewer**, you can drill-down to patient detail reports for Financial metrics, Outcomes, Process Measures, Potentially Avoidable Events and all Hospitalization measures.

Report User Gu	viele								
	uide		SOC/ROC Cli	nicion: [nicion /			
Page 2			SUC/RUC CII	iician. I		nician 4	G https://secure.shpdata.com/reports/Dy P		☆ 🕸
	utcome Measures	High/Low Better(+/-)	SOC/ROC Clinician	Your Org	SHF RN	P State (CA PT	SHP Outcomes Patient Detail Superior Outcomes	06/01/2012 - 05/ Report Date: 6/	
☆	Improvements - Risk Adjusted	+	57.30%	61.14%			Functional Outcomes		
Ambulation	Improvements - Actual	+	48.00%	51.32%		71.96%	Lyspilea Healt	Status Outcomes	ization tcomes
	Declines	-	0.00%	3.95% 58.13%	3.63%	1.15%	Declined		
🗙	Improvements - Risk Adjusted	+	59.14%		57.88%	66.21%	Positive Outcome Select Report Mode:	œ	
Bed Transfer	Improvements - Actual Declines	+	58.97%	54.70% 1.51%	3.10%	1.20%	() Stabilized (Not Eligible to Improve) Eligible Unimproved	ehav EC	A I
<u>~</u>	Improvements - Risk Adjusted	-	62.95%	64.22%	3.10%	1.20%	Chabilized (Flightle to Improved		Forte
🛧 Bathing	Improvements - Actual	+	69.81%	64.22%	65.47%	73 / 7%		Prob Prob Cog Cog Donfus Bow Final	are s
Dauning	Declines	-	0.00%	1.90%	3.97%	1.75%	Negative Outcome O Declined Declined Declined All Patients Declined All Patients	Hus Hos An Hos Hos Hos Hos Hos Hos Hos Hos Hos Hos	Ч
<u> </u>	Improvements - Risk Adjusted	+	76.45%	55.49%	3.31 10	1.7.576		pital Frec Frec Frec Cont Cont	nita
Pain Interferrin	g Improvements - Actual	+	71,74%	51.46%	68.55%	68.83%		izati izati uen / Ley / Ley incti inen fecti	izati 7ati
with Activity	Declines	-	0.00%	5.23%	6.28%	5.06%	6		3 8 3
· ·	Improvements - Risk Adjusted	+	80.31%	59.44%			Patient \$ S/ROC Clinician \$ S/ROC Case Mgr \$ DC \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	• • • • • • • • • •	2
Dyspnea	Improvements - Actual	+	76.92%	51.76%	70.57%	74.20%	DEMO Aguilar, Corah DEMO Clinician 4 DEMO Case Manager 4 06/04/12 59		-
	Declines	-	11,29%	6.46%	3.09%	2.00%	DEMO Aquiliar Oprah DEMO Clinician 4 DEMO Case Manager 4 09/04/12 60 DEMO Clinician 4 DEMO Case Manager 4 12/04/12 59 A <td></td> <td>-</td>		-
	Improvements - Risk Adjusted	+	100/%	90.81%			GEWACROBINGTX,**** DEMO Clinician 4 DEMO Case Manager 4 12/04/12 59 DEMO Clinician 4 DEMO Case Manager 4 03/04/13 57		
Status of Surgical Wnd	Improvements - Actual	+	100.00%	83.53%	89.41%	88.87%	DEMO0000001X) DEMO Clinician 4 DEMO Case Manager 4 08/17/12 11	▲ Q	
ourgiour who	Declines	-	0.00%	3.08%	1.08%	0.83%	DEMO Miranda Ulia DEMO Miranda Ulia DEMO Clinician 4 DEMO Case Manager 4 11/17/12 11	▲ ()	
	Improvements - Risk Adjusted	+	71.37%	51.25%			DEMOCINICATION DEMO Clinician 4 DEMO Case Manager 4 02/17/13 11	▲ Q	-
Mgmt of Oral Meds	Improvements - Actual	+	71.88%	44.15%	51.17%	51.87%			
erer mode	Declines	-	0.00%	3.47%	5.49%	3.79%	06% 4.43% 3.56% 4.30% V		

SHP Report Review Accountabilities and Responsibilities



Download SHP Report Review Process Documents

- SHP University → Classes & Manuals → SHP for Agencies[™] Educational Catalog → Course Materials
- There are 4 versions available

SHP for Agencies Education Catalog

Course Materials

Document	
SHP for Agencies [™] Education Guide	Download
101 Course Presentation Handouts	Download
102 Course Presentation Handouts	Download
SHP Utilization Sample Workflow: Point-of-Care	Download
SHP Utilization Sample Workflow: Manual/Paper	Download
SHP Report Review Process: Executive Managers (C-Suite)	Download
SHP Report Review Process: Clinical Managers/Supervisors	Download
SHP Report Review Process: PI Manager/Coordinator	Download
SHP Report Review Process: QR Nurse (OASIS Review)	Download



Modify the Process Documents As Needed

- Tailor to fit your specific structure and job function
- Use the document as a reminder of which reports to look at and how often to review them



Executive Management Report Review Schedule SHP*Solutions*™ for Agencies

Report Purpose	Report Name	Frequency
Review real-time widgets to identify	SHP Dashboard	Weekly
issues. Drill-down on widgets with red borders (indicates threshold exceeded).	Configure the Dashboard to show the metrics most relevant to you.	
Monitor RAC Risk, LUPA's, Outliers, Revenue & Case Weight, Visit Utilization and Therapy Utilization with drill-down to patient detail.	Financial Executive Advantage™ Birds-eye view of key financial measures	Monthly
Review case-mix scoring factors to	Case Mix Distribution Report	Monthly or
ensure correct reimbursement.		Quarterly
Review publicly reported scores with drill-downs to patient detail.	Home Health Compare Report & Clinical Executive Advantage™	Monthly
Note: Use Clinical Executive Advantage™ for comparison between locations	Color coded to identify issues	
Monitor 30-day rehospitalization rates,	Rehospitalization Report	Monthly
including breakouts for DX penalty groups.	<i>Key report for demonstrating value in</i> Transitions in Care <i>partnerships</i>	
Monitor traditional hospitalization and emergent care rates (SOC/ROC to	Hospital & Emergent Care	Monthly
DC/Transfer) as well as breakouts by diagnosis and reason.	Utilization Report Provides a comprehensive analysis of <u>all</u> hospitalizations.	
Identify specific outcomes targeted for	Trended Outcomes™	Quarterly
improvement, drill-down to patient detail, set goals and monitor.	Track your progress on a 12-month rolling average	
Monitor individual staff performance, identify areas for commendation and	Scorecards Review quality & financial measures	Quarterly
improvement to enhance accountability.	for staff members	
Review the 5 core HHCAHPS patient satisfaction measures, drill-down to	HHCAHPS Scores & Benchmarks with Drill Down	Quarterly
clinician and patient detail.	Key metric for referrals	
Monitor and benchmark Direct Costs by Discipline.	Direct Cost Per Visit	Quarterly



Final Review

What's Next?



- Make sure that your staff members have SHP access and have attended training
- Include SHP education for new staff members during orientation
- Download the SHP Report Review process documents and use them to establish which reports you will review on a weekly, monthly, and quarterly basis
- Review current reporting to see if SHP can simplify and/or replace existing processes
- Set goals for your agency, monitor improvement, and reward your staff as goals are met



STRATEGIC HEALTHCARE PROGRAMS

Thank you for attending!

Questions? Please Contact Us At:

Support@SHPdata.com

or call (805) 963-9446

